App. F. 1X-4

192

File No.

Previous References ( )

Serial Nos.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT

Nawl Krishna Doss charities'
(Miscellaneous

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No 1317-21—Muz. 264-22-9 dated 17th April 1926

Nowl Krishna Doss Sait's Charities.

Sanctions the restoration to Sumati Ananthachar and Lakshmi Narasimhachar f their vrittis or their equivalents from the —— at Paschimavahini.

READ—

Government Order No. 963-6—G. 199, dated the 16th July 1894, directing that owing o the neglected condition of the charities founded by the late Nowl Krishna Doss in Paschimavahini, Seringapatam Taluk, the Inam villages of Turuganur and Basavanahalli n the T.-Narsipur Taluk intended for the maintenance of these charities may be taken inder Government management.

2. Government Order No. 9047-48—R. 1369, dated 2nd December 1894, directing. on representations made by the Vrittidars, that the question of restoring to them the vrittis or their equivalents would be favourably considered, after they build their Agrahar houses in a locality approved by the Deputy Commissioner.

3. Government Order No. 797-8—Muz. 31-94, dated the 25th December 1898, recognising the right of the several Agrahar Inamdars to their respective shares in the ncome from the villages during the years 1886-1894 (during which the Inam was under Government Management).

4. Government Order No. 745-6, dated 17th August 1904, giving a final chance to the several vrittidars to construct within one year's time houses on the sites at Seringapatam selected by the Deputy Commissioner.

5. Government Order No. 3110-12—Muz. 696-15-11, dated the 5th March 1921, directing that the arrears of vritti amounts held in deposit cannot be refunded to Sumati Anantachar, one of the Vrittidars, as the Agrahar house built by him at Seringapatam intended for the quarters of a Brahmin had been rented out to a school.

6. Review application No. 1103, dated 31st October 1922, from Sumati Anantachar, praying that on a reconsideration of the foregoing order, arrears of vritti amounts may be refunded to him and also his vritti may be restored to him.

ORDER No. 1317-21—MUZ. 264-22-9, DATED 17TH APRIL 1926.

The villages of Turuganur and Basavanahalli in the T.-Narsipur Taluk were granted as Sarvamanya by His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyar to Nowl Krishna Doss by Sannad, dated 8th March 1820, for the maintenance of certain charitable institutions, *viz.*, a chattram, a temple and an Agrahar at Paschimavahini. The villages were registered during the Inam Settlement, as Devadaya grant to be held by the Manager for the time being of the charities established by Nowl Krishna Doss. As the charities were neglected, and no Agrahar was built, the villages were taken under Government management in 1886 and subsequently resumed to Government in Government Order No. 963 6—G. 199, dated the 16th July 1894.

2. On the representation made by the Vrittidars it was directed in Government Order No. 9047-48—R. 1369, dated 2nd December 1894, that the question of restoring to them the vrittis or their equivalents would be favourably considered after they build their Agrahar houses in a locality approved by the Deputy Commissioner and otherwise conform to the conditions of the original grant. In Government Order No 797-8—Muz. 31-94, dated 25th December 1898, the right of the several Agrahar Inamdars to their respective shares in the income from the villages during the years 1886-1894 (during which the Inam

N O T E.

NAUL KRISTNA DOSS'S CHARITIES.

Memorandum Flag A in File II.

The villages of Turugannur and Basavanahalli in T. Narasipur Taluk were granted as Sarvamanyam by His Highness the Maharaja Kristna Raja Wodayar III to Naul Kristna Doss by a Sannad dated the 8th March 1820 for the maintenance of certain charitable Institutions viz., a Chattram, 3 temples and an Agrahar at Paschimavahini. In November 1832, the donor divided the Inam into 18 Vritties and assigned them as follows:--

This vritti is according to the grant a Devadaya one. But in 1833 the vritti was gifted away by the priest of the Matt to one Srinivasachar and it has since been treated as a personal vritti along with those of the Agraharikas. Hence 12 Agrahar vrittis.

Sri Rameswara Devaru	- 1 3/8 Vritti.
Sri Pattabhiramaswamy	- 1 3/8 Vritti.
Sri Anjaneyaswamy.	- ½
Chattram.	- 1
Sadavarth Dharmam	- 1.
Parakala Mutt Sri Lakshminarayanaswami Yejaman.	- 1.
Agrahar 11 Brahmins.	- 11.
Total.	18.

2. The villa e lands were however not divided into separate holdings and allotted to the several vrittidars; on the other hand the management of the villages was retained by the Inamdar as Manager of the Charities, and the net revenue realized year by year was divided amongst the several vrittidars in proportion to their respective shares.

3 Matters went on smoothly for several years till about the year 1865 when disputes arose between the Manager and

the Agraharikas owing to the latter not receiving their vritti allowances regularly and some of the Agraharikas commenced suing the Manager in Civil Courts for the recovery of their vritti allowances.

4. While the parties were thus contending in Civil Courts, the Inam Settlement took place in 1880. In this settlement the villages were registered as Devadaya grants to be held by the Manager for the time being of the Charities established by ~~Haul~~ Nowl Kristna Doss. At the enquiry the Vrittidars petitioned the Inam Superintendent praying that the names of all the twelve Agraharikas may be entered in the title deed. But the Inam Superintendent rejected the prayer observing that the names of the Vrittidars should not be entered in the title deed.

Enquiry Registers, in file 1 Flags B & C.

5. In 1885, the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks found all the Institutions in a neglected condition and after enquiry and perusal of the previous records passed an order directing that the Institutions and their endowments should be taken over under the direct management of the Taluk Amildar. The order was accepted by the Deputy Commissioner who instructed the Amildar of T. Narasipur Taluk to assume the management of the villages and remit the collections to the Amildar of the Seringapatam Taluk in view to the money being applied for the benefit of the Charities. An Istihar notifying such assumption was accordingly published on October 1887 for the information of all concerned. On proceeding however to settle the revenue affairs of the villages the Amildar experienced at the outset some difficulty in collecting the rents from the ryots as the Munsiff's Court had previously

attached the villages for the debts due by the Manager to the Vrittidars and had appointed a Receiver for the management of the villages. The Deputy Commissioner thereupon reported the foregoing circumstances to Government and sought orders on the subject of the liability of the Inam villages to attachment by Civil Courts in satisfaction of the Manager's personal debts. Later on in July 1889, the Deputy Commissioner addressed another report to Government, submitting copies of the attachment orders issued to his Office by the Civil Courts and soliciting early decision as to the liability of the Inam villages to such attachment. The Court was at the same time informed by the Deputy Commissioner of the fact that the matter has been reported to Government for orders. The Amildar was also directed to make arrangements for the regular Jamabandy and revenue collection of the two villages which he did with -- effect from the year Sarvajit (1887-88).

Letter No 63 dated 2nd 1888. Copy in file Flag D.

6 In December 1889, Kurma Subbannachar, one of the Agrahar Vrittidars, petitioned the Deputy Commissioner submitting copies of Civil Court Decrees obtained by him and certain other Vrittidars in respect of the vritti allowances due for the years Tharuna, Parthiva and Vyaya and praying for the payment of the decreed amounts out of the revenues of the Inam villages collected by Government Agency. The Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Meiklejohn) submitted the petition and its enclosures to Government for orders, observing as his opinion, that the amount recovered by the Government since 1880 and held in deposit should first be utilised for repairing the Chattram and meeting the cost of the maintenance

maintenance of puja services in the temples, and that any balance remaining after meeting the above expenditure might be distributed to the ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ vrittidars according to the Decree and in the proportion of the Inam grant.

7 In November 1893 a petition was received by Government in the Muzrai Departmen complaining that the Charities established by ~~Naul~~ Noul Krishna Doss had fallen into decay and that the proceeds of the Inam villages endowed thereto were not being applied for the purposes of the Institutions. The Deputy Commissioner to whom the petition was referred reported that the Institutions were all in dilapidated condition. The successor of the original grantee (Noul ~~Naul~~ Venkata Doss) being called upon for an explanation offerred to surrender the Inam villages to the Government and prayed that the charitable Institutions above named might be maintained from their proceeds.

Letter No 1166 dated 12th April 1894. File I Flag E.

8 Government thereupon passed an order No. 965-6/G. 199 dated the 10th July 1894, directing the Deputy Commissioner to assume possession of the villages and to apply to the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey the introduction of Surgey and Settlement into them as Government villages. In this order, Government added that it was not their wish to allow Charities of some local usefulness to be utterly abolished and that they would be willing to make a reasonable grant from the general revenues of the State to the Muzrai Department for the restoration and maintenance of the Chattram and temple as Government Muzrai Institutions.

File I Flag F.

File I Flag Co.

9 Sometime after this order was passed the vrittidars complained to the Dewan of the resumption of their vrittiles; and in reply they were told that the resumption was just and proper and must continue in full force and effect, and that the Government however would consider favourably the question of restoring to them the vrittis or their equivalents, after they build Agrahar houses in a locality approved by the Deputy Commissioner and otherwise conform to the conditions of the original grant. The vrittidars were also directed to apply to the Deputy Commissioner for the selection of a suitable site for their Agrahar which, wherever built should be known after the original donor.

A Government order to this effect was passed in Proceedings No. 9048-9/R. 1369 dated the 2nd December 1894, and communicated to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

10. The Inam villages were thereupon resumed to Government with effect from the year Jaya (1894-5).

File II

11 The Deputy Commissioner was instructed to allot sites to the vrittidars at Seringapatam for the construction of a New Agrahar. But when the Deputy Commissioner proceeded to do so, none of the vrittidars showed a desire to build. On this being reported to Government, an order No. 500/Huzrai File 31-94 dated the 5th October 1896 was passed requesting the Deputy Commissioner to prepare a plan of the sites newly allotted to the several vrittidars and serve on them a notice requiring them to take charge of their respective sites and commence building thereon within a stipulated time and informin

File II Flag H

them at the same time that in case they fail to do do, the sites will be otherwise disposed of.

No 1164 dated April 1898 File II I.

12 On this the vrittidars expressed their willingness to build and the Deputy Commissioner, recommended that they might be put in possession of the sites and paid the arrears out of the realizations of the Inam villages in small sums as progress was shown by them in the construction of the houses. The Government passed an order accepting this recommendation and observing that the vrittidars were entitled to receive only the net revenue collected by the Government from the time when the villages were taken over under Government management in the year 1886 to the date on which the villages were finally resumed under the order of July 1894 and authorising the Deputy Commissioners to disburse to the vrittidars the amounts due to them after satisfying himself as to the present claimants being the rightful representatives of the original grantees and deducting the ~~same~~ sums reported to have already been paid to some of them.

D. No 797-8 dated 5th Dec 1890 File II. Flag J.

13 This was followed by another order of Government in the Financial Department No. 825-6 dated 11th August 1904 sanctioning the disbursement of the arrears of the vritti amounts from 1886-1894. ~~Even~~ after this order none of the vrittidars, including even some of those who received a portion of the vritti amount cared to build houses.

H. Enclosure.

On this the following order was passed in Pro. No. 745-6/Muz. F. No. 31-94 dated 17th August 1904.

File III Flag K.

x x x x x x x x x x x

The personal vrittidars, who are reported to have shown no inclination till now to build the Agrhar houses on the sites already selected by the Deputy Commissioner ——— P T.

at Seringapatam, must be given a final chance with one year's time to carry out the conditions offerred to them in the order of December 1894 and distinctly warned that the promise then made will be finally withdrawn and cancelled after the expiry of this period. The arrears of the vritti allowance from 1886 to 1894 may however be utilised in giving to such of the vrittidars as show an inclination to build houses and live in the Agrahara, a suitable advance for such construction on their giving proper and adequate security. The Deputy commissioner is requested to see that when an advance is made the vrittidars actually build and live in their house and perform the conditions of the grant within one year of such advance being made. The Agrahar houses should be built in accordance with an approved plan and in a suitable and healthy locality."

15 In regard to the temples and the Chattram the Government in proceedings 825-6 dated 11th August 1904 (Fin. Dept), referred to above, sanctioned the payment of the arrears of vritti amounts from 1894-5 to 1902 and fixed the vritti allowances payable to the Institutions from 1903-4. as hereunder.

Rameswara Temple.	Rs. 108 at Rs. 9	a month.
Pattahbiramaswami Temple.	Rs. 108 at Rs. 9	,,
Anjaneyaswami Temple.	Rs. 18 at $1\frac{1}{2}$	,,
The Chattram and Sadavartha Dharma.	165 at $13\frac{3}{4}$	,,
Rs.	55-4-0	

" Andragain in the order of 17th ~~Aug~~ August 1904 the Government issued the following instructions:--

" The amount due to the Chattram viz., Rs. 13-12-0 should be regularly ~~dr~~ drawn every month and added on to the deposit amount after meeting the care-taker's pay sanctioned in para 7 below. The Amildar of the Seringapatam Taluk should be directed to see to the regular performance of the services in the temples.

A regular estimate and a plan for thoroughly repairing the Chattram should at once be submitted by the Deputy Commissioner to Government in the Huzrai Department."

G.O. No 603-4 dated 24th July 1906 file IV flag L

17 A care-taker on Rs. 5 was also sanctioned to the Chattram, the charge being met from the sum of Rs. 13-12-0 a month allotted for the Chattram.

18 In March 1906 Kurma Subbannachar one of the vrittidars, submitted a memorial praying among other matters, for the cancellation of the Government Order relating to the resumption of the villages. Some of the other vrittidars joined Kurma Subbannachar, and prayed also for a reconsideration of the Government Order No 9048-9/R. 1869, dated the 2nd December 1894, which makes it incumbent on the vrittidars to build Agrahar houses before they prefer their claim to the Sarvamanya vritties or the income therefrom. On this ~~point~~ point two of the petitioners, viz., Kurma Subbannachar and Subba Krishna Rao, who represented the other ~~cause~~

contendig Vrittidars also, were heard. They contended that they were not bound to build Agrahar houses anywhere, because the grant of Vritties to them by the founder of the Agrahar in 1832 did not impose any such condition on them and they urged that the Government Order of the 2nd December 1894 be therefore cancelled and that the Sarvamanya villages restored to the Vrittidars unconditionally. But the Government decided that this contention was untenable holding that the chief condition of the grant of the villages in 1820 by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III to Sahukar Nowl Krishna Das Sait, was the maintenance of the Chattram, Temples and Agrahar built by the latter at Paschimavahini on the banks of the river Kaveri and the confirmation of the Inam by the Inam Department was also on that condition. The Government observed that in the case of the Vrittidars, it was specifically stated in the Inam Register that they should maintain the Agrahar houses and reside therein themselves or keep persons in them qualified to observe the conditions of the grant and as the Chattram and the Temples were being maintained in Paschimavahini in accordance with the conditions of the grant provision must also be made for an Agrahar, if the Vritties, originall assigned therefor were to be restored.

19 In regard to the question whether the Agrahar should be built in

in Paschimavahini itself where it had originally been constructed or in Seringapatam where sites had been selected and allotted in pursuance of the Government order of 1898, or whether the Agrahar houses could be permitted to be constructed anywhere else, the Government observed that all the Vrittidars, including the memorialist and the others, had agreed before the Sub-Division Officer of French Rocks in 1898 to build houses on the sites selected and pointed out to them at Seringapatam, and that they themselves had requested to be permitted to build their Agrahar houses in that town, on the ground that Paschimavahini was an unhealthy place.

20. Considering the above facts and also the circumstances that a house had already been constructed by one of the Vrittidars in pursuance of the Government orders, and the fact that one of the conditions of the grant of the Inam was the maintenance of a Brahmin Agrahar on the banks of the river Kaveri at Paschimavahini, and that a concession had already been shown to the Vrittidars by permitting them to build Agrahar houses at Seringapatam instead of at Paschimavahini the Government decided that it was undesirable to disturb the orders already passed on the subject, and that the petitioners should therefore be informed that the previous orders in regard to the reconstruction of an Agrahar in Seringapatam could not be reconsidered.

21. The Deputy Commissioner's attention was also invited to para 3 of the Government Proceedings No. 745-6/ Uz. F. 61-94 dated the 17th August 1904 and he was requested to re-

port whether as directed therein the vrittidars had been given a final chance with one year's time and, if so, whether any of them who had previously shown no inclination to build Agrahar houses at Seringapatam, had come forward to take up sites and build houses thereon and in what stage the matter stood.

22 In December 1910 Urma Subbannachar and others again petitioned the Government praying for the restoration of the vritties and the disbursement of the amounts due to them under the decree of the Civil courts. On this Mr. A. Subramanya Iyer, (the then Huzrai Under Secretary) submitted an elaborate and exhaustive note arguing that the contention of the petitioners that they were not bound to construct Agrahar houses must be upheld and that the Inam Settlement could be conveniently revised and the Agrahar vritties settled as personal and enfranchised. The then first Councillor (Mr. H. V. Nanjundaiya) supported the above view adding that it was impossible to enforce the conditions about the building of Agrahar houses and living there. The Inam Superintendent was unofficially consulted on the question of revising the Inam settlement and he said that the settlement already made must be adhered to as being in accordance [illegible] that any modification in the settlement would be in contravention of His Highness's wishes, and that he saw no reason to reconsider the orders already passed. The Dewan in submitting the case for the gracious orders of His Highness

File IV Flag M

File IV Flag N.
His Highness the late late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyar III
Flag O

His Highness the Maharaja expressed it as his opinion that the order of the 2nd December 1894 confirmed by the order of 24th July 1906 must stand. His Highness having expressed his concurrence with the view of the case taken by the Dewan an endorsement was issued to Kurma Subbannachar (No. 2081 dated the 17th May 1913) intimating that the matter could not be reconsidered.

Flag P.

Flag Q.

The Present Case.

Current file

23 This relates to the claim preferred on behalf of one Sumati Anantachar a minor vrittidar so far back as March 1916 for payment of the arrears of vritti amount on the ground that he had constructed a house in the site allotted to him at Seringapatam. On enquiry it was found that the house had not been occupied as Anantachar himself was a student in the Sanskrit College and there was some difficulty in arranging for the house being occupied by others because there were no houses round about and water convenience was wanting. The Deputy Commissioner's opinion was then called for whether some relaxation of the strict condition of actual habitation was not called for particularly as the refusal to pay the arrears due would tend to discourage others from building. In reply the Deputy Commissioner reported that the condition of actual habitation might be relaxed.

Letter to Dy Commr, No 7770 dated 4.2.1915 Flag R.

Letter No 476 dated 23 1916. Flag S

24 In submitting the case for orde.

on 23rd July 1919, Mr. G. Aravamudu Iyengar has noted as hereunder:--

para 22 of Note Flag T.

" The only point for consideration is ~~as~~ whether actual residence of the vrittidars in the Agrahar houses is an essential condition entitling them to enjoy the vrittis. This is not a necessary incident in the case of all Agrahar grants, Only ~~then~~ when there is an express mention of the condition, the term should be strictly enforced (vide pages. 625 and 626. Rev. Manual) The original sannad of His late Highness does not include this condition On this point please see Mr. Nanjundaiya's note under date 20th July 1911. ~~In this the~~ Third Member has recorded a minute under date 6th August 1919 observing:—

paras 24-33 of Note Flag W. 25/ On this the

1. that it should be an essential condition for the continuance of the vrittis that they should be owned by Brahmins and the Agrahar houses also occupied by Brahmins;

2. that as an undertaking has already been given by Government in their order dated 17th August 1905 that the arrears of vritti would be paid to such of them as build house on the site selected for the new Agraharam within a period of one year, we may restrict the payment of the full amount of the vritti only to those who completed the construction of their houses within three (or even five) years from the date of the order;

3. that in the case of others the arrear may as penalty be reduced by 25 per cent and that all the remaining vrittidars who have not built their houses may be informed that the vrittis will be finally resumed to Government and that no arrears will be paid

if they do not complete the construction of their huses by the end of December 1920;

4. That in regard to the villages themselves one of the following courses suggested by Mr. A. Subramany Iyer as Mural superintendent in his note dated 30th March 1911 may be adopted: —

(a) to resume both the villages to Government and commute the vrittis into cash payments on suitable conditions;

(b) to assign one of the villages as Agrahar Inam among the Agrahar vrittidars and reserve the other to Government with money grants for the support of the temple and the Chattram or

(c) to assign both the villages to the vrittidars and recover from them as Jodi the proportionate share for the Chattram and the temple and assign it as a cash grant for these institutions;

(d) enfranchise the Agrahar vrittis (if the vrittidars agree to it) by converting it into hereditary cash grant or otherwise, commute the temple and Chattram vrittis into money grants and take over the villages under Government management.

26 The Member has invited further views in the matter.

27 The vrittidars having been summoned & them have appeared and given statements as hereunder:--

Sumati Anantachar and Lakshminarasimhacha state that they have already built houses and they pray that the balance of the vritti amounts due from the year 1894 may be paid to them after deducting Rs. 309 and odd and Rs. 900 respectively paid to them already. This request

deserves favourable consideration.

A. Narasimhaiya, requests the payment of the amount due to him from 1894 deducting Rs. 500 already paid him for house construction. He ~~sta~~ states that he has not built a house as no site was allotted to him and there was no order to that effect. His contention is untenable.

Kurma Subbannachar and others simply repeat their old request for the payment of vritti amounts due from the year 1886 under decrees already obtained by them in Civil courts. This request has been considered and rejected more than once and these persons cannot be allowed to re-open the question until at least they have built houses on the sites marked for them.

28/ As regards the future management of the villages and payment of vritties Anantachar wants his vritti to be handed over to him.

Lakshminarasimhachar says that the villages may be managed by Government and he wants only that the proportionate amount due for his vritti to be paid to him annually.

A. Narasimhaiya states that either the villages may be apportioned among ~~the~~ the vrittidars but without giving the privelege of kadim tenants to the ryots or that the villages may continue under Government management and the proportionate vritti amount given to him annually.

Kurma Subbannachar and others want the two villages to be handed over

to the vrittidars, the proportionate amount due to the temples and the Chattram being recovered from them as Jodi and paid to the Institutions concerned.

29 It will thus be seen that the vrittidars are not all agreed as to the future management of the villages. But having regard to the circumstances of the case in all its aspects I think that the best course will be to adopt the first of the four alternatives proposed in the Member's minute of 6th August viz., to resume both the villages to Government and commute the vritti into cash payment on suitable conditions.

30 This has been practically done in the case of the temple and the chattram to which fixed cash grants have been assigned by the Government in the order dated 11th August 1904. Similar sums may now be fixed for payment, in future, to the vrittidars who have already built houses in the Agrahar sites and also to such of them who on building hereafter may be considered deserving of the continuance of the vritties. To assign vritties in land under present conditions will be highly inconvenient. Even prior to 1886 when the villages were under the management of the donor's representative the vrittidars admittedly received their dues only in the shape of cash payments out of the income of the villages. They had not ~~only~~ at any time been given possession and enjoyment of defined vritti shares in the village lands. It was perhaps on this account that at the Inam settlement too the Superintendent refused to heed the prayer of the vrittidars for the insertion of their names in the title deed.

31 The commutation of the vritti into suitable cash payments will thus be consistent alike

the past holding of the villager and also with the order passed in July 1894 wherein it is directed that the villages should on resumption be surveyed and settled as government villages. The amont of the cash allowance payable to each of the vrittidars may be separately determined on the merits of the claim of each with reference to the income derived from the villages in the past

28/10/20

32385

Peer

No. 4194/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 31. 5. 1920

1920

S.T. 5/5/20

2 Petitions as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ... ~~Department~~, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 758 of 1919-20.

By Order,

Private Secretary
to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GBPM—1,000—30-4-18

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
Pet. 758
31 MAY. 1920
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

TO His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore.

The humble petition of Venkata Doss,
residing at 91, Perumal Mudali Street,
George Town, M A D R A S.

The Petitioner above-named most humbly begs to state that he is the lineal descendant of Nowl Kishore Doss to whom His late Highness Maharaja Krishna Raj Wadiar of Mysore granted under a Sanad about a century ago the Inam Villages of Thurenganoor and Basavanahulli in the Taluq of Pattan, Ashtagram, a garden in Pachimavahani in the Mysore Ashtagram Taluq and also an Agraharam for Brahmins at Pachimavahani to be held and enjoyed by the said Nowl Kishore Doss and his heirs from generation to generation according to the above S A N A D.

The said Nowl Kishore Doss was in enjoyment of the above properties under the Sanad during his life time and on his death his son Jugal Kishore Doss succeeded to the same. On the death of the latter the properties passed to his son Krishna Doss and on whose death his daughter Parvathiboyee succeeded to the same As she was a minor at that time her paternal grand-mother Poolabai got into possession of the properties to recover which the said Parvathiboyee after she attained her majority instituted Original Suit NO.3 of 1869 in the Court of the Superintendent Of Ashtagram Division against the said Poolaboyee and was placed in possession of the properties under the decree passed in the above suit. The said Parvathiboyee continued to be in enjoyment of the above properties till her death about twenty-five years back.

The petitioner is the son of Parvathiboyee and is legally entitled to hold the above properties under the S A N A D by right of succession. About twenty days after the death of Parvathiboyee

however, the above properties were taken possession of by the Inam Commissioner on behalf of the Government of Mysore in whose possession they still continue though the petitioner is legally entitled to the same under the S A N A D mentioned above. The Government is not utilising the properties for any of the various charitable purposes mentioned in the S A N A D . The petitioner is willing to administer the charities for which the Inam Sanad was originally issued and therefore most humbly prays that the above may be placed in his possession to be held and enjoyed for the purposes mentioned in the S A N A D referred to above for which act of grace the petitioner will be ever grateful.

[illegible]
9.6.5-1980

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
30 MAY 1920
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

TO The Maharaja of ~~Dussehra~~. Mysore.

The humble petition of Venkata Doss,
residing at 91 Perumal Mudali Street,
George Town, M A D R A S.

The Petitioner above-named most humbly begs to state that he is the lineal descendant of Nowl Kishore Doss to whom His late Highness Maharaja Krishna Raj Wadiar of Mysore granted under a Sanad about a century ago the Inam Villages of Thurenganoor and Basavanahulli in the Taluq of Pattagan, Ashtagram, a garden in Pachimavahani in the Mydore Ashtagram Taluq and also an Agraharam for Brahmins at Pachimavahani to be held and enjoyed by the said Nowl Kishore Doss and his heirs from generation to generation according to the above S A N A D.

The said Nowl Kishore Doss was in enjoyment of the above properties under the Sanad during his life time and on his death his son Jugal Kishore Doss succeeded to the same. On the death of the latter the properties passed to his son Krishna Doss and on whose death his daughter Parvathiboyee succeeded to the same As she was a minor at that time her paternal grand-mother Poolabai got into possession of the properties to recover which the said Parvathiboyee after she attained her majority instituted Original Suit NO.3e4 of1869.in the Court of the Superintendent Of Ashtagram Division against the said Poolaboyee and was placed in possession of the properties under the decree passed in the above suit. said Parvathiboyee continued to be in enjoyment of the above properties till her death about twenty-five years back.

The petitioner is the son of Parvathiboyee and is legally entitled to hold the above properties under the S A N A D by right of succession. About twenty days after the death of Parvati

however, the above properties were taken possession of by the Inam Commissioner on behalf of the Government of Mysore in whose possession they still continue though the petitioner is legally entitled to the same under the S A N A D mentioned above. The Government is not utilising the properties for any of the various charitable purposes mentioned in the S A N A D. The petitioner is willing to administer the charities for which the Inam Sanad was originally issued and therefore most humbly prays that the above may be placed in his possession to be held and enjoyed for the purposes mentioned in the S A N A D referred to above for which act of grace the petitioner will be ever grateful.

[illegible]
26. 5- [illegible]

Nul Kristna [illegible]

Re - clean

AMT.

Memorandum H A.

to File II.

The will [illegible] of [illegible] and [illegible] P[illegible] [illegible] T. [illegible] granted [illegible] [illegible] the Nul[illegible] Kristna [illegible] Nul Kristna [illegible] by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 1830 for the [illegible] [illegible] charitable Institutions [illegible] 3 temples and an agrahar [illegible] In [illegible] 1832, the date [illegible] Institution [illegible] 18 villages [illegible] follows.-

The village according to the grant [illegible] Devaru - But in 1835 the village was gifted [illegible] by the priest of the Matt to one Srinivas - Thereafter it has since been treated as a personal village belonging to the Math of the Math [illegible].

Sri Ramachandra Deva [illegible]	1 5/8 Vill.
Sri Lakshmi Narayana [illegible]	1 5/8 Vil.
Sri Anjaneya [illegible]	1/4.
Do utsava.	1
Sudarshana [illegible]	1
[illegible] Matt [illegible] Yajaman.	1
Teacher - 11 Brahmans	- 11.
Total	18.

[illegible]

the agraharikas owing to the latter not ~~recording~~ receiving their vritti allowance regularly and some of the agraharikas commenced suing the Manager in Civil Courts for the recovery of their vritti allowance.

3. While the petitioner was pending in Civil Courts, the settlement took place in 1880. In the settlement the will gave an eight-an Devadaya grants to be held by the Manager for the time being of the Charities established by Raul Krishna Bose. At the enquiry the vrittidars petitioned the Inam Superintendent regarding praying that the names of all the twelve agraharikas may be entered in the title deed. But the Inam Superintendent rejected the prayer observing that the names of the vrittidars should not be entered in the title deed.

Chief Inam Enquiry Register
Spec in File I - fl. B+C

In 1885, the Sub Division Officer of Franch Rocks found the Institutions in neglected condition and after enquiry and perusal of the previous records passed an order directing that the Institution and their income should be taken over under the direct management of the Taluk auditor. The order was accepted by the Deputy Commissioner who instructed the auditor of T. Narsipur Taluk to assume the management of the village and remit the coll

to the Amildar of the Coringapatam taluk in view to the money being applied for the benefit of the Charities. An intimation notifying such assumption was accordingly published for the information of all concerned. On proceeding to revise to settle the revenue affairs of the [illegible] the Amildar experienced at the outset some difficulty in collecting the revenue from the ryots as the Manjiffs demanded previously attached the village forces due by the Manager to the Vritti [illegible] and had appointed a Receiver for the management of the villages. The Deputy Commissioner the [illegible] forwarded the foregoing circumstances to Government and sought orders on the subject of the liability of the Amildar villages to attachment by Civil courts in satisfaction of the Amildar's personal debts. Later on in July 1869, the Deputy Commissioner addressed a report to Government, submitting copies of the attachment [illegible] and [illegible] of the Civil Court [illegible] decisions as to the liability of the Amildar villages to such attachments. [illegible] was at the same time [illegible] Deputy Commissioner [illegible] matter has been reported to Government [illegible] order. The Amildar was [illegible] to make arrangements for the [illegible] Jumla [illegible] and some collections [illegible] two villages which had [illegible] [illegible] Sarvagit (1867-[illegible]).

X Ques^n in Oct 1887

Letter No 638 2-5-88.
Copy in file T. fl. 33

In December 1869, Kurma Subbaraya, one of the agrahar vrittidars, petitioned the Deputy Commissioner submitting copies of Civil Court Decrees obtained by him and certain other vrittidars in respect of the vritti allowances due for the years Tharumo, Parthiva and Vyaya, and praying for the payment of the decreed amounts out the revenues of the Inam villages collected by Government agency. The Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Lockhart) submitted the petition and its enclosures to Government for orders, observing in his opinion, that the amount received by Government since 1866 and held in deposit should first be utilized for repairing the Chattram and meeting the cost of the maintenance of puja services in the temples, and that any balance remaining after meeting the above expenditure might be ~~[illegible]~~ distributed to the vrittidars according to the Decree and in the proportion of the inam grant.

In November 1893 a petition was received by Government in the Revenue Department complaining that the Charities established by Nanjappa had fallen into decay and that the proceeds of the Inam villages endowed therefor were not being applied for the purposes of the Institutions. The Deputy Commissioner to whom the petition was referred reported that the Institutions were all in a bad state of condition, and that the trustees of the founder the succession of [illegible]

Letter No 1116 of 12-4-94
File I. H. E.

original grantee Nowl.Venkata Doss being called upon for an explanation offered to surrender the Inam villages to the Government and prayed that the charitable Institutions above named might be maintained from their proceeds.

File I — Fl 7.

The Government thereupon passed an order No.963-6/G.199 dated 16th July 1894, directing the Deputy Commissioner to assume possession of the villages and to apply to the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey for the introduction of Survey and Settlement into them as Government villages. In this order the Government added that it was not the wish of Government to allow Charities of some local usefulness to be utterly abolished and that they would be willing to make a reasonable grant from the general revenues of the State to the Muzrai Department for the restoration and maintenance of the Chattram and temple as Government Muzrai Institutions.

File I. Fl 9

Sometime after this order was passed the vrittidars complained to the Dewan of the resumption of their vrittis; and in reply they were told that the resumption was just and proper and must continue in full force and effect and that the Government however would consider favourably the question of restoring to them the vrittis or to

equivalents, after they build their Agrahar houses in a locality approved by the Deputy Commissioner and otherwise conform to the conditions of the original grant. The vrittidars were also directed to apply to the Deputy Commissioner for the selection of a suitable site for their Agrahar which, wherever built should be known after the original donor.

Government Order to this effect was passed in Proceedings No.9048-9/R.1369 dated 2nd December 1894, and communicated to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

The Inam villages were thereupon resumed to Government with effect from the year Jaya (1894-5).

File II.

of the Vrittidars.

File II - H. H.

The Deputy Commissioner was instructed to allot sites to the vrittidars at Seringapatam for the construction of a New Agrahar. But when the Deputy Commissioner proceeded to do so, none showed a desire to build. On this being reported to Government in order No.500/Inam I.31-94 dated 5th October 1896, requesting the Deputy Commissioner to prepare a plan of the sites newly allotted to the several vrittidars and serve on them a notice requiring them to take charge of their respective sites and commence building thereon within a [illegible] time and informing them that the [illegible]

time that in case they fail to do so, the sites will be otherwise disposed of.

On this the Vritidars expressed their willingness to build and the Deputy Commissioner recommended that the [illegible] be put in possession of the sites on [illegible] arrears. [illegible] out of the realisations of the land will set up [illegible] [illegible] tion of the [illegible]. The order [illegible] passed [illegible] or [illegible] Vritidars [illegible] to [illegible] the sites [illegible] allotted in the Government from the time [illegible] [illegible] Government in the [illegible] by the [illegible] will be [illegible] of the order of July 18[illegible], and [illegible] the Deputy Commissioner [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

It was however reported that none of the vrittidars including ~~th~~ some of those who received a portion of the vritti amount in pursuance of the above order cared to build houses.. ~~On this~~ the following order was passed in Pro. No.745-6/Muz.F.No.31-94 dated 17th August 1904.

Rule III
- H K.

On this,

x x x

"3. The personal vrittidars, who are reported to have shown no inclination till now to build the Agrahar houses on the sites already selected by the Deputy Commissioner at Seringapatam, must be given a final chance with one year's time to carry out the conditions offered to them in the order of December 1894 and distinctly warned that the promise they made will be finally withdrawn and cancelled after the expiry of this period. The arrears of the vritti allowance from 1883 to 1894 [illegible] be utilized in giving to such of the vrittidars as show an inclination to build houses and live in the agraharas, a suitable advance for such construction on their giving proper and adequate security. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to see and perform the conditions of the grant within one year of such advance being made. The Agrahar houses should be built in accordance with an approved plan and in a suitable and healthy locality."

that when an advance is made the vrittidar actually build and live in their house and

In regard to the temples and the Chattram the Government in Pro. No. 825-6 dated 11th August 1904 (Fin.[illegible]) ~~conveyed sanctioned to the fixed payment of the vritti amounts allowances with effect from 1903-4 together with the arrears due from 1894-95 to the end of 1902-03. The allowances being fixed as hereunder.~~

-above, Sanctioned payment of the arrears of Vritti amounts from 1894-5 to 1902 and fixed the Vritti allows payable to the Inst.ns from 1903-4

Ramdsw[illegible] Temple	Rs.108	Rs.9 [illegible]
Pat[illegible] Temple.	Rs.10[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible] Temple.	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible] Chattram [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	Rs.[illegible]-0.

again [illegible] 17th Aug [illegible] the Government issued [illegible] following [illegible]

[illegible] t." [illegible]

3-4824-1906
File IV.- 7C &

for the cancellation of.

allotted for the Chattram.

In March 1906 Kurma Subbannachar one of the vrittidars submitted a memorial praying among other matters ~~that~~ the Government Order relating to the resumption of the villages ~~being cancelled~~. ~~In this request~~ Some of the other vrittidars ~~also~~ joined Kurma Subbannachar. ~~Their prayer being~~ and prayed that for a reconsideration of the Government Order No.9048-9/R.1369, dated the 2nd December 1894, which makes it incumbent on the Vrittidars to build agrahar houses before they prefer their claim to the Sarvamanya Vrittis or the income therefrom. On this point, two of the petitioners, viz., Kurma Subbannachar and Subba Krishna Rao, who represented the other contending Vrittidars also, were heard. ~~Their contention was~~ They contended that they ~~are~~ were not bound to build agrahar Brahmin houses anywhere because the grant of Vrittis to them by the founder of the Agrahar in 1882 did not impose any such condition on them. ~~In this view they prayed~~ and they therefore prayed that the Government Order of the 2nd December 1894 be rescinded cancelled and that the Sarvamanya villages be restored to the vrittidars unconditionally. But the Government ~~found~~ decided that this contention was untenable ~~as~~ holding that the chief condition of the grant of the village in 1820 by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III to Subadar Lord Kairlie Dar Gait, was

maintenance of the Chattram, Temples and Agrahar built by the ~~ex~~ latter at Paschimavahini on the banks of the river Kaveri and the confirmation of the Inam by the Inam Department was also on that condition. The petitioners contend that in ~~In~~ the case of the Vrittidars it ~~is~~ was specifically stated ~~therein~~ ~~in~~ in the Inam Register that they should maintain the agrahar houses and reside therein themselves or keep persons in them qualified to observe the conditions of the grant. ~~The~~ and as the Chattram and the Temples ~~are~~ were being maintained in Paschimavahini in accordance with the conditions of the grant ~~and~~. provision must ~~therefore~~ also be made ~~for~~ for an agrahar, if the Vrittiers originally assigned therefor ~~are~~ had to be restored.

In regard. ~~The second~~ question ~~for consideration~~ (3) whether the ~~reconstruction of the~~ build agrahar should be in Paschimavahini itself where it ~~is~~ originally constructed or at Seringapatam, where sites were selected and allotted in pursuance of the Government Order of 1898 or whether the agrahar houses ~~can~~ could be permitted to be constructed anywhere else, the petitioners ~~From the records it is clear~~ ~~that~~ all the Vrittidars including the memorialist and the other ~~[illegible]~~ had ~~not~~ agreed before the Sub Divisional Officer of French Rocks in 1898 to build houses on the sites selected and allotted to them at Seringapatam, and to the sites had request to be allotted to build the agrahar houses in that place, and [illegible]

unhealthy place.

Considering the above facts and also the circumstances that a house had already constructed by one of the vrittidars in pursuance of the Government orders, and the fact that one of the conditions ofthe grant of the Inam was the maintenance of a Brahmin agrahar on the banks of the river Kaveri at Paschimavahini, and that a concession had already been shown to the vrittidars by permitting them to bukld Agrahar houses at Seringapatam instead of at Paschimavahini the Government decided that it was undesirable to disturb the orders already passed on the subject and that the petitioners should therefore be informed that the previous orders in regard to the reconstruction of an agrahar in Seringapatam could not be reconsidered.

The Deputy Commissioner's attention was also invited to para 3 of the Government Proceedings No.745-6/Mus.F.No.31-94 dated the 17th August 1904 and he was requested to report whether as directed therein the vrittidars were given a final chance with one year's time and, if so, whether any of them who had shown no inclination to build agrahar houses at Seringapatam, came forward to take up sites and bukld houses thereon and in that stage the matter stood.

His attention was also drawn to 6 of the above Proceedings and

requested to submit without delay an estimate and a plan prepared by an officer of the Public Works Department for repairing the Chattrum [illegible] office [illegible]. The Chief Engineer is requested to give the needful orders on the [illegible].

In [illegible] and others [illegible] that [illegible] ~~for carrying details of the [illegible] decree obtained and will [illegible]~~ [illegible] for [illegible] and the [illegible] of the [illegible] on this [illegible] ~~(Under [illegible])~~ [illegible] of [illegible] ~~then~~ [illegible] ~~adding~~ [illegible] ~~and~~ [illegible] ~~adding~~ [illegible]

the decree of the Civil Courts

then

adding

and

File IV H 1..

H O.

7e P

7e P

7L Q

His Highness th [illegible]
as his opinion t [illegible] order of th
Decembe 1 94 [illegible] filmed by the [illegible]
24th July 1966 must stand. [illegible]
having expressed hi [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 7th [illegible]
intimating th [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

V. Answer.

So far back as March 1946.

This relates to the [illegible] on behalf of one [illegible] petition [illegible] out of [illegible] written amount [illegible] on the ground that [illegible] here in the [illegible] to the [illegible] College system. [illegible] that the house had not been occupied as [illegible] there was difficulty in [illegible] for the house [illegible] because there [illegible] found that [illegible] the commencement [illegible] College. [illegible] College [illegible] and [illegible] College and [illegible] student in the Sanskrit College and [illegible] Sastracharya himself was [illegible]

Letter No. 1770 dt. 4-2-15
Pl. R.

Letter No. 476 dt. 2-3-16
Pl. S.

[illegible] strict condition of [illegible] not called for [illegible] to pay the [illegible] to discourage others from building. [illegible] the property [illegible] condition of actual [illegible] on 23 [illegible] 19 [illegible] be [illegible] might.

In submitting the case for order, the [illegible] made [illegible] the following:-

para 22 of Note - PLT

The only point for consideration is whether [illegible] in the case of [illegible] College [illegible] petition [illegible] in the case of [illegible] College [illegible] College [illegible] College [illegible]

~~H~~ paras 24.-33 of Note
H. 2.

On this point please see Mr. [illegible]-daiya's note under date 20th July 1917 on this the Third Member has recorded a Minute under date 6th August 1919 ~~[illegible]~~:-

1. that it should be a [illegible] condition for the continuance of the writtie that they should be [illegible] Brahmins of the agrahara house [illegible] occupied by writtiar.

2. that [illegible] given by Government in their [illegible] dated 17th August 1905 that the [illegible] of writti should be paid to such officer as build house on the site allotted for the [illegible] agrahara within a period [illegible] years, [illegible] that the payment of the full amount of the writti only to those who completed the construction of their house within three (or even five) years from the date of the order.

3. that in the case of others the amount [illegible] should be reduced by 25 per cent until all the remaining writtiar who have not built their house may be informed that the [illegible] will be finally [illegible] to do so and that no [illegible] will be [illegible] thereafter if they do not complete the construction of their house [illegible] of [illegible] 1920.

4. ~~In~~ That in regard to the [illegible] to one of the following courses by Mr. [illegible] [illegible] supplied [illegible] in his note [illegible]

March 1911 may be adopted.

( ) To resume both the villages to Government and commute the vrittis into cash payments on suitable conditions.

(b) To assign one of the villages as agrahar Inam among the agrahar vrittidars and reserve the other to Government with money grants for the support of the temple and the chattram or

(c) To assign both the villages to the vrittidars and recover from them as Jodi the proportionate share for the Chattram and the temple and assign it as a cash grant for these institutions.

(d) Enfranchise the agrahar vrittis (if the vrittidars agree to it) by converting it into hereditary cash grant or otherwise, commute the temple and Chattram vrittis into money grants and take over the villages under Government Management.

The Member has invited further views in the matter.

Fl V.

8 of them have appeared. and ~~Statement~~ from Statements as hereunder:-

The vrittidars having been summoned ~~to state their cases~~ Sumati Mandalar and Lakshminarasimhachar ~~the~~ State that they have already built houses and they that the balance of the vritti amount due from the year 1911 may be paid to them after deducting Rs.309 and odd and Rs.900 respectively paid to them already. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

duducting Rs.500 already paid to him for house construction. He states ~~It is not known whether he has built the house or not.~~

Kuruva Subbannachar and others ~~urge~~ simply repeat their old request for the payment of writti amount due from the year 1886 under decrees already obtained by them in Civil Courts ~~Courts~~. ~~These have not built houses, and they refuse to do so.~~

This request has been considered & rejected more than once and the persons can not be allowed to re-open the question until @ least they have built their houses in the sites marked for them

~~In view of the Government orders already passed, the claim of Sunati Anantachar and Lakshminarasimhachar for payment of arrears to them deserve favourable consideration.~~

~~Narasimhaiya states~~ that he has not built a house as no site was allotted to him and there was no order to that effect. His contention is untenable.

As regards the future ~~payment of writti amount~~ management and payment of writtis of the villages Anantachar wants his writti to be handed over to him.

Lakshminarasimhachar says that the villagers may be charged by government and he wants only that the proportionate amount due for his writti to be paid to him annually.

Narasimhaiya states that either the villagers may be apportioned among the writtidars ~~consistently with the objects of the donor~~ but not giving the privilege of Kadim to [illegible]

to the ryots or that the villages may continue under Government management and the proportionate vritti amount given to him annually.

Kurma Subbannacher and others unite the two villages to be handed over to the vrittidars, the proportionate amount due to the temples and the Chattrum being recovered from them as Jodi and paid to the Institutions concerned.

~~This it~~ In this will be seen that the vrittidars are not all agreed as to the future management of the villages. But having regard to the circumstances of the case in all its aspects I think the best course will be to resume both the villages to Government and commute the vrittis into cash payment on suitable conditions.

This has been ~~practically~~ practically done in the case of the temple and the chattrum to such fixed cash grants ~~has~~ have been assigned by the Government ~~as explained~~ in the Board's order of 11 Aug 19 [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~. Similar payments may now be ~~sanctioned in the vritti [illegible]~~ fixed for payment. ~~who may be [illegible] during of the continuance of their vritti [illegible]~~

To accept the first of the four alternatives proposed in the Member's Minute of 6th Aug 19 U.S.

in future to ~~such of~~ the Vrittidars who have already built houses in the Agrahar Sites and also ~~Such of them who~~ who, or in future hereafter, may be considered deserving of the enhancement of the Vrittis

~~allotment~~ To accept Vrittis and no under present conditions will be highly inexpedient. [illegible] to 1886 when the villages were under the management of the donor's agents that the vrittidars admitted [illegible] rec[illegible] dues in the shape of cash payments out of the income of the villages. [illegible]

had ~~at no time any~~ not @ any time been in possession ~~of~~ and enjoyment of defined vritti shares in the village lands. It was perhaps on this account that at the Inam Settlement too the Superintendent refused to heed the prayer of the vrittidars for the insertion of their names in the title deed.

The ~~grant~~ commutation of the vritti into suitable cash payments will thus be consistent alike with the past ~~and~~ holding ~~also be ordered laying down in the Proceedings of~~ laydown July 1894 wherein it is directed that the villages should on resumption be surveyed and settled as Government villages. The amount of the cash allowance payable to each of the Vrittidars. May be separately determined on the merits of ~~each claimant's case~~ the claim of each, with reference to a certain amount ~~of profit~~ also to come from the Village to [illegible] in Oriya.

also. of the Villages and with the order laid down in the

2.5.[illegible]

Vijayalu

18348
10-6-25

నం 1877/రెవి 264-22
తేది 27 జూన్ 1925

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

పి.వి.కె.

తాళ్ళ అన్న

ఆదరణగల తమ్ముడు శ్రీ [illegible]. ఆదర్శ విద్యార్థి [illegible]
తెలుపుతాను

[illegible]

[illegible]

అమరావతి

9/7/25

1881

L 5

REV. SECT.
11. JUL. 1925
G. D. SECTION.

No 18 తేది 8th July 1925
నెం. 264-22

సెక్రటరీ మిస్టరీ నిన్నటి సైర్కులేట్
ఆఫ్ మేలోపల రిఫరెన్సు

గ్రామం పేరు మార్చడం కొరకు
అభ్యర్థన.

గ్రామం పేరు మార్చబడుతున్న పనులు
పైన్ దాసీ సైట్ చేరవర అగ్రహారపు వి
ధానాలు రెండు తప్పు గీలుగల ప్రయత్నం
చూడబడ్డ రెండవ తప్పు గీలుగల ప్రయత్నం
చు దివసపు పరిశీలనగా కి ఫిర్యాదు తప్పు
ఉన్నది 1877 / 29-6-25 గల రంగారెడ్డి జిల్లా కలెక్టరు
మీద తీర్పు చెప్పబడినది

పైన రాసిన విషయాలను దృష్టిలో ఉంచుకొని
తగిన ప్రకారం తెలుపవలసిందిగా
మీకు మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

By order
Illegible
for Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Dept.

Bangalore
July 1925

To 200 13

01881

[illegible]

[illegible] 9.7.25 [illegible] 9 [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

J. [illegible]

Senior [illegible]

Amulat

10.7.25

GEN. [illegible]
13 JUL 1925

D. No. 52

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVE[illegible]
SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

1877
26.4.[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

పరీక్ష గ్రామ సచివాలయ లో ఉద్యోగ
పరీక్షలు నిర్వహించి పరిశీలించి

26/25

Dr. R. Srinivas,

28-6-25

To Revenue Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

GEN. 17 JUN 1925
MUZRA
No. 1881

Sir,

I the undersigned by name Sumati Shantha Char a resident of Seethapathi Agrahar Thanarajpet respectfully beg permission to submit the following lines for your kind consideration and favourable orders.

I beg to state that, in my Review petition dated 31-10-22 to the First Member of Council to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, I have stated that I, the Vritti holder of Navada Krishna Sait Agrahar, Sneerangapatam, have, in accordance with the Government orders, got constructed a house within the required period and as such pray for the refund of the balance arrears of money of my Vritti as well as the restoration of my Vritti lands. I beg to state also that, in spite of my repeated petitions since then, no definite orders have been passed on the point.

Last year I received an endorsement from the Muzrai Commissioner, stating that, all the records connected with my case have been forwarded to the Government. On enquiring in the Taluk Office, I came to know the same fact.

My humble request now, is that, as there are many important facts connected with the case, which cannot be committed to the paper and require to be mentioned only in person, I may be given a hearing and the date be informed to me before the case is finally disposed off. My request for this favour is earnestly urged as I fear that, if the case is settled without

without giving me the required opportunity is bound to be unfavourably settled as has already happened.

Therefore, praying once again for grant of a hearing, the refunding of my Vritti arrears and the restoration of my Vritti lands,

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(ಸುಬಣ್ಣ ಆಚಾರ್ಯ)

17/6/1925
Bangalore

49408

No. 884/22-23 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
En 1 file & copy.

Dated Bangalore, 5th/6th June 1925.

D 1850
Muzr. 264-22-8.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

REVENUE
7 JUN. 1925
G D SECTION.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1655/M.F.264-22 dated 18th May 1925, regarding the payment of Vritti amounts to certain Vrittidars of Noul Krishna Doss Charities at ---- Seringapatam, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter C.No 391/22-23 dated 2nd June 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore -- District, giving enclosure to Sub Division Officers report dated 16th April 1925 and stating that no further information than that contained in the report is available.

2. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner
(Absent from station.)

KSR.

in Mysore.

Letter No.C.391/22-23 dated 2nd June 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the telegram dated the 1st June 1925 and to forward herewith a detailed report received from the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, with his Memo No. R.H.C.689/22-23 dated 15th April 1925, on the points referred to in the Revenue Secretary's letter No.420/Muz.364-22-6 dated 18th September 1924 in connection with the claim of Sumathi Anantha Char and Laksmi Narasimha Char for payment of Vritti amounts from Nowl Krishna Doss Charities.

The Sub Division Officer has stated that the information contained in the above Memo, is all that he could gather in the Taluk Office after two days' search in the records and that no further information was available.

The entire file of the connected vernacular papers and the petitions of the above named two individuals, are resubmitted herewith."

"C o p y"

KSR.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Mysore District, ~~Stamp~~

42296

C 884/22-23

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 15th April 1925.

REV. SECTT.
6. APR. 1925
G. D. SECTION.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1395/M.F.264-22 dated 23rd March 1925 regarding the payment of vritti amounts to certain vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss Charities at ---- Paschimavahini, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter - A.C.No.391/22-23 dated 8th April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that a search is being made for the connected accounts in the Seringapatam Taluk office and that a reply will be -- sent as soon as the Sub Division Officer's report is received in this - Office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaramiyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Subd with previous papers The receipt of the report may be awaited

17/4/25 17.4.25

Cab Letter W by up 17.4.25

Letter No.A.C.391/22-23 dated 7/8th April 1925 from the Deputy mmissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - -

"With reference to your letter No.C.884/22-23 dated 31st March, 2nd April 1924 forwarding copy of Revenue Secretary's letter No.1395/ M.F.264-22 dated 23rd March 1924 regarding the payment of Vritti -- amounts due to certain vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, and requesting submission of ~~xxx~~ report with in a week, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, who was asked to furnish information on the points 1, 2, and 3 raised in Revenue ---- Secretary's letter No.420/Muz.264-22-6 dated 18th September 1924, -- stated in his memo No.H.C.236/24-25 dated 30th March 1925 that no -- accounts were forthcoming in T.Narasipur Taluk as all the payments in respect of the said charities were being made in Seringapatam Taluk only. I have forwarded his memo to the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, requesting him to arrange for a search being made in respect of the connected accounts and to submit a report not later than the 10th instant. I have reminded him again this day to submit his --

report

report within the time limit. After receipt

be submitted.

The delay is unavoidable."

"C o p y"

Key Or Ch

For. Muzrai Commissioner.

KS+.

-G-32]

B50—RSD 0527

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Mucz

File No. 284-22

Serial No. R

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

D-O No. 1395

Date of issue 23.3.25

Signed by Sri. T.R.

To

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C 884 of 2nd Jany 25 regarding the details required in connection with the claim of S. Ananthachar & S. Lakshmi narasimhachar for payment of Vritti Amounts, I am desired to request you to intimate at what stage the matter now stands?

As the case has been pending for a long time, I am to request that the report called for may be sent up without further delay yours Sincl

over

Subs for appl

Sd

Sd 21/3/25

To The Mucz Commr Sd 21/3/25

321

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 19-2-25

Signed by Mr J. Ramaiya

Section Hun

File No. 264-22

Serial No R

No. 1237

To

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No C 884 of 2 to January 25 ~~stating that~~ Yandri details required in connection with the claim of S. Anantachar & S. Lakshminarasimhachar for payment of Vritti amounts ~~will be sent up~~ on receipt of the Sub. Div. Officer report after 10-1-25 till ~~that~~ time was allowed to the Amildar of Narasipur to search for the records papers after arranging the ~~secondary~~, I am desired to request you to intimate at what stage the matter

over

Subs for approval

RSG

Jone

10-2-25.

now stands? been pending for a long
As they have been pending for long periods
~~case~~ it may be
~~to~~ treated as an urgent ~~be~~ the reports
one ~~case~~ a report submitted called for may
~~early~~ without further delay sent up
further delay

Yours truly

16/2

To the Mag/Comr

C 84
22-23 enc one

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 2nd January 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.884/22-23 dated -- 1st December 1924, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a -- further communication C.No.391 of 22 dated 20th December 1924 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that details required in connection with the claim of S. Anantachar and S. ----- Lakshminarasimhachar for payment of vritti amounts will be sent up on receipt of the Sub Division Officer's report after 10-1-25.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

further report may be awaited.

Letter No.C.391/22-23 dated 20th December 1924 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of this office letter No.C391/22-23 dated 22/25-11-24 re: the details of payment of vritti to Sumati Ananta Char and S. Lakshmi Narasimhachar from Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, reports in his memo No.R.H.C.236/24-5 dated 9-12-24 that consequent on the shifting of the Taluk Records at T. Narsipur in connection with the recent floods, they have all been mixed up and heaped together in a confused state, most of the Daftars having been broken at the time, that the records required cannot therefore be easily had for reference at once, that the Amildar requests some time to search for the papers after arranging the records and that he may be given time till 10th January 1925. In the circumstances, stated, I have allowed the Amildar time till then and after receipt of the required

report from the Sub Division Officer, the information be furnished."

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner. 31/1/95.

KSR.

G 1-/FP 025
MC...

31.3.25.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 15/3/25

ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಸಮೀಪ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಸಾಷ್ಟಾಂಗ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು

ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ ಅನ್ನಪೂರ್ಣ ದೇವಿಯ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದು ಇರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಥಳ ದೊರಕಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ಅನ್ನಪೂರ್ಣಾದೇವಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಮಾತ್ರ.

ಸದರಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ಬಾಬಾಜಿ ಬಾಬಿ-ಉರುಸ್ ಎಂಬ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳದಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೈಬರಹದ ಸನ್ನದನ್ನು 30-10-1922ರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯೂ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ಉರುಸ್ ಕೈಬರಹದ ಸನ್ನದಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖವಿದೆ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ಉರುಸ್ ಕೈಬರಹದ ಸನ್ನದಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖವಿದೆ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಆ ದಿನದಿಂದ ಇಂದಿನವರೆಗೂ ಉರುಸ್ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆದಿದೆ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ಉರುಸ್ ಕೈಬರಹದ ಸನ್ನದಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖವಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಉರುಸ್ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಕೈಬರಹದ ಸನ್ನದನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು
28/3/1925

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಆನಂದ ಆಚಾರ್ಯ

D.O. Venimadhav was issued on the 23rd March 1925.
Submitted with the connected file for perusal. The relevant file from the Marg will be annexed.
26/3/1925

384/22-23 enc one

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

25210 Dated Bangalore, 29th ~~November~~ December 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.758/M.F.264-22 dated 27th November 1924 about the agrahar houses built by two vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.No.391/22-23 dated 25th November 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting an ad-interim report and to state that the detailed information required in your letter of the 18th September 1924 will be furnished on receipt of the further reply promised by the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(Absent on casual leave)

KSR.

Letter No.C.391/22-23 dated 24/25th November 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.884/22-23 dated 26th September 1924, calling for certain information with regard to the payment of Vritti, to Sumati Anantachar and S. Laksnmi Narasimha Char from Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks from whom a report had been called for on the subject, reports in his memo No.689/22-23 dated 19-11-24 that no Jama Kharch accounts in respect of the Vritti referred to, are maintained in the Seringapatam Taluk and that all details could be had in T. Narasipur Taluk etc., I am therefore writing to the Sub Division Officer Nanjangud, to furnish the required information --- positively before the 10th December next. On hearing from him a further report will be submitted.

With reference to the query (iv) raised in Revenue Secretarys letter No.420/Muz.264-22-6 dated 18-9-24, the Amildar of Seringapatam reports that it is ascertained that Sumati Ananta Char has given his house for the occupation of Kesava Ranga Bhatta, archak of the Sri Ranganatha Swamy Temple."

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner. 29.11.'24.

KSR.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.

File No

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

No. 420/one sme file

Date of issue 18. 9. 24

Signed by Sri T Ramanaya

To

The Muz. Comr.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 881 of 25th April 1924 furnishing information about the agrahara houses constructed by Sumati Anantachar and S. Lakshminarasimhachar, Vrittidars of Now. C Krishna Does charities

at Seringapatam, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish information on the following points:—

(i) up to what year has ~~the~~ ~~pay~~ Sumati Anantachar been paid his vritti, and from what year has payment been withheld?

(ii) What is the year up to which payment has been made to Lakshminara Sinhachar?

(iii) Are there any other Vrittidars to whom the Vritti arrear has been paid, and if so, up to what year has been paid?

List of enclosures.

(IV) Is there any truth in the statement made by Sumati Anantachar that he has recently rented out his house at S. Patam to a Brahman.

Copied by

(2) The review petition and other encls. are returned for ref. & re-submn. with entire records

Examined by

(3) The further report may be submitted so as to reach this office not later than the 28th oct 1926

I have etc.

sd/- [illegible]

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

33435

884 9 n 3
on file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 24th April 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., I.A.S.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Muz. 284-22-5.

GEN. & SECRETARIAT
26 APR 1924
MUZRAI
Dno. 2111.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., I.A.S.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Registered
25 APR 1924
GOVERNMENT

In continuation of this office letter C.No.884/22-23 dated 12th November 1923, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.No.39 of 23-23 dated 17th April 1924 together with its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, furnishing further information about the construction of agrahar houses by Sumati Anantachar and S. Laksh Narasimha Char, Vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, Seringapatam.

Pending
put with
for submit

recd
28/4/24

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.39/22-23 dated 17th April 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.384/22-23 dated 10/12th November 1923, I have the honor to state that Sumati Ananta Char is reported to have built the house in the Dowl Krishna Doss Sait's Agrahar at Seringapatam about October 1905 and that the municipal tax was first levied on this house in the year 1906-7 (Parabhava) as already stated this office letter No.C.391/22-23 dated 15/16th October 1923.

As regards Sahasranamada Lakshmi Narasimha Char, it is reported by reference to the Taluk Municipal records, that he first obtained a licence on 30-1-1905 from the Vice President of the --- Seringapatam taluk Municipality for constructing the house, and as it could not be constructed then owing to some inconvenience he is said to have obtained a fresh license for the second time on 13-9-19 and constructed the house afterwards. It is already reported that municipal tax on this house was levied for the first time during the year 1916-17. From these facts, it is clear that the house of S. Lakshminarasimha Char was not constructed in the Agrahar within the period stipulated in the Government Order of 17th August 1904.

Correspondence ending with Seringapatam taluk Amildar's ---- Ittile No.1690 dated 4-4-24 is enclosed for reference."

"Copy"

24/4/24

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

C. M 391/22-23
9-4-24

నెం 1690

కు శ్రీగోపాల భట్టు గారు కూకట్పల్లి 3-4-24

రిజిస్టరు 391 రిజిస్టరు పత్రానికి

తిరుమలగిరి సర్వే నెం 1 లో 1 ఎకరం 10 గుంటల

పట్టా భూమి కొనుగోలు చేసి రిజిస్ట్రేషన్

చేయడమైనది. సదరు పట్టా భూమి 30-1-1905 నాటి

పట్టాదారు పాస్ పుస్తకం ప్రకారం తిరుమలగిరి

గ్రామానికి చెందిన పట్టాదారు అయిన తిరుగాడి

నరసింహులు తండ్రి తిరుగాడి పెద్ద నర్సయ్య

గారి పేరిట ఉన్నది. తిరుగాడి పెద్ద నర్సయ్య

గారు 13-9-1918 నాటి తిరుగాడి పెద్ద నర్సయ్య

గారి పేరిట ఉన్నది. తిరుమలగిరి గ్రామానికి

చెందిన తిరుగాడి పెద్ద నర్సయ్య గారు అనారోగ్యం

తో మరణించినట్లు కూకట్పల్లి తహసీల్దార్

వారి నివేదిక 26-3-24 రిజిస్టరు 1652

రిజిస్టరు అప్లికేషన్ పరిశీలించి తిరిగి అందజేయడమైనది

43765
7-4-24

4-4-24

J. [illegible]

తహసీల్దార్

Muz 4]

Recirculate

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
. *the* 192 .

Date of issue 5/1/24

Signed by _____

Section Misc
File No. 214-21
Serial No. 4.

No. 883
Cue-Sentar 23.1.24

To

The Muz. Commr

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 884 dt 12th June 1923 reporting on the review application of Sumati Bhantach regarding Nowl Krishna Dass Saito Agrahara at Seringapatam,

Issue
28 12 23.

I am directed to inform that you will be so good as to send up the further report promised therein ~~before me~~ at a very early date.

15th proxy as the review-application is pending disposal for a long time.

Another application from the same person Reg No. 75 dt 22.12.23 is hereunto forward

I have etc

28.

DD) Rec. Secretary.

List of enclosures.

28/12/23

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2 500—27-7-22

C 884

15361

GOVT. SECRETARIAT
14 NOV 1923
MUZRAI

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 10th November 1923.

From D. 560

Mus. 264-22-3.

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

REV.
3 NOV 1923
To

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.500/M.F.264-22 dated the 4th October 1923 requesting the submission of a report on the review application of Sumati Anantachar regarding Nowl Krishnadoss Sait's Agrahar at Seringapatam, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's report No.391 of 22-23 dated 16th October 1923 together with Anantachar's petition, furnishing the information required and to state that the further report referred to by the Deputy Commissioner in para 3 of his letter has been called for and will be forwarded on receipt.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N.

Letter No.Ref.A.C.391/22-23 dated 16th October 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Camp:- Mysore

---

"With reference to your D.O. letter No.C.884/22-23 dated 12th October 1923 calling for a report as to when Sumati Anantachar and Vrittidar Sahasranamada Laxminarasimhachar built houses in Nowl Krishna Doss Sait's Agrahar at Seringapatam and when for the first year, Municipal tax was levied on these houses etc., referred to in the Revenue Secretary's letter No.1912/Muz.264-22-2 dated 18th December 1922, I have the honor to state that from the report received from the Amildar in July last it is seen that Sumati Anantachar had built the house by about October 1905 and that Municipal tax was first levied on this house in the year 1906-07 (Parabhava).

2. The Amildar further reports that on enquiry made of Sahasranama Lakshmi Narasimhachar it is ascertained that the said individual (S. Lakshmi Narasimhachar) applied for a license to build the house in January 1905, and built the house, that as this house became dilapidated owing to its not having been occupied, it was repaired during 1915-16 after obtaining a license and that the house is under occupation by Lakshminarasimhachar since then. The Municipal tax on this house is said to have been levied for the first time during 1916-17.

3. As the Amildar's report was not express as to whether these houses were constructed exactly within the period of one year stipulated in the Government Order of 17th August 1904, he has been asked to submit definite information on the point. A further report on this point, if necessary, will be submitted, after hearing from the Amildar and by a reference to the connected file of old papers on the subject available in this office.

I regret the delay allowed in this office in furnishing the report called for.

Sumati Anantachar's petition dated 24th October 1922 received with the Revenue Secretary's letter under reference is retransmitted herewith".

Copy.

Muzrai Commissioner.

4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

No. 1012 / 0 ...

Date of issue 18-2-...

Signed by M. J. ...

To

The Dy. Commissioner
Mysore Dist.
Through the Muz Comr.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith review-application regd. no 1103 from Sumati Anantachar, one of the Killidars of Nowl Krishna Doss Saib's Agrahar at Seringapatam I am directed to that ...
Kindly ...

Sumati Anantacha.
and ~~another~~ Krittidas
Sahasranamada Lakshmi-
Nara Simhachar built
~~Three Agraharas~~ houses
at Seringapatam, and
whether these houses
were constructed within
the ~~time~~ period of 1 year stipulated
in G.O. no. 745-6 Dy
17-8-1904 on the subject.
(2) the license granted
by the Municipality and
other papers bearing
the subject, may
not be submitted for
f.

The first year in which the house tax was levied on these houses may also be noted

List of enclosures.

the favour of a
my early reply is
requested. I have etc

Copied by
Examined by

Dy Sec-Secretary

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

15/02/22

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered

No..... .. ..dated.....

received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from.....S..... ....

....

Please see order on the main file and put up along with the draft in that case.

24-12-20

be owing to [illegible]

[illegible]

is forwarded, together with its enclosures,

to the ..... [illegible]

....

... .for... [illegible]

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

191

10/11/20

[P. T. O.

GPB— 00 3 11 12

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮರ್ತ್ತಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ಬಗ್ಗೆ

..... ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

10/11/20

[illegible] జిల్లా [illegible] కార్యదర్శి [illegible] సత్రీ పేరిట వరదు

[illegible] పేరు [illegible] అక్రమాలపట్టిక [illegible]

ECTION
FILE No.
SERIAL No.

[illegible] బి.యస్.సి. [illegible] అమలు [illegible]

27.10.20

తిరుపతి రోడ్డు నరసాపురపు శాంతి నగర్ లో ఇరవై సెంట్ల [illegible] సమీప బంధువులు [illegible] మరణించారు. వారి [illegible] కలిగిన వారసులు [illegible] ఇరువురు. ఈ వ్యక్తి కి సంబంధపడ్డ మనోళ్లు [illegible] ఇద్దరు [illegible] ఆస్తి [illegible] వ్యక్తి [illegible] తరువాత [illegible] ఆ వ్యక్తి [illegible] అనుభవిస్తూ [illegible] ఆ వ్యక్తి [illegible] పేరంటాలు [illegible] ఆదేశాలు [illegible] వారి [illegible] వ్యక్తి [illegible] ఇరువురి [illegible] వారి [illegible] పట్టణం [illegible] ఇరువురి [illegible]

గారు, శ్రీరాంనగర్ పట్టణానికి సమీపంలో అక్రమార్కులు [illegible] మోసం చేసి [illegible] మనోళ్లు [illegible] ఇరువురి [illegible] వ్యక్తి [illegible] మోసం [illegible] తిమ్మరుసు [illegible] గారు శ్రీరాంనగర్ పట్టణానికి [illegible] ఉద్యానవనం [illegible] గారు [illegible] పట్టణ [illegible] తరువాత [illegible] ఉద్యానవనం [illegible] అక్రమార్కులు [illegible] గారు [illegible] పట్టణ [illegible] అక్రమార్కులు [illegible] తమిళనాడు [illegible] ఆదేశాలు [illegible]

నమ్మకం దొరికి జిల్లా కలెక్టరు [illegible] ఎవరికో [illegible] ఇద్దరు [illegible] ఇరువురు. నమ్మకం [illegible] ఆస్తి [illegible] వ్యక్తి [illegible] వారసులు [illegible] రమణి [illegible] (Book I) Vol. 36 10 1920-21, నోటరీ [illegible] అక్రమార్కులు [illegible] వ్యక్తి [illegible]

[illegible]

ప్రొంకొంబియు నన్ను శ్రద్ధాంబరితేబన్గళూరు ఇదరొం దేశి.
దయవిరుత్తిళ్ళి.

తా. 27. 10. 20.

నమ్మ విశ్వాసద విధేయ.
B. S. Subrahmany

Address.

B.S. Subrahmanya.
No 19. Sitavilas Agrahara,
Mysore

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

29/7/04 Submit to the 2nd Councillor

26.7.04

Please prepare
a draft
the Resn and rules
on village unions in
Madras & send
27/7

Submit to the Dewan

Pl see certain suggestions
pencil made in the two drafts.

Venkatasamiah
27/7/04

The files have been arranged
and tables of contents put up
29/7/04

695
Resn issued
Please issue
your sanction
notification
20.VII.04

Issue Resn soon
1/8/04

Issued

Note on timed

Order s above have been issued
issued from the Government Order
Printed copy of order is put up.

Kurma & Ramachar & 30 other Vidhi

Subject :- Nawab Krishna Dass' Charities

Latest Reference :- Petition no. 9-146 / 119-166 dated 3rd Sept 1902 from Annoswami Ramanujapur Archak of the Sri Pattabhi-Ramaswami Temple at-Vaithimavakkam to the address of His Highness the Maharaja which was received in the office with the Private Secy. no 119 dated 4th Sept

for report

The villages of T
[illegible]
[illegible]
and some of [illegible]

Sarvamanyam by His

the Maharaja Krishnaraja

Wadayar III to Nawl ~~Krishna~~ Kisora Dass

by Sanad dated 8th

March 1820, for the maintenance

of certain charitable Institutions

viz a Chattram, ~~&~~ Temple ~~&~~

and an Agrahar at Pasachimavahini

2. In 1832 the donee

divided the Inam into 18 Vrittis

and assigned them as follows: —

Sri Rameswara Devaru	$1\frac{3}{8}$	Vrittis
Sri Pattabhiramaswami	$1\frac{3}{8}$	"
Sri Anjaneyaswami	$\frac{1}{4}$	"
Chattram	1	"
Taidavarti Dharma	1	"
~~Poparam ~~ ~~Sri ~~ ~~Lakshmi Narasimhaswami~~ ~~&~~		
Yajamana	1	
Agrahar ~~&~~ 12 Brahmins	~~&~~ 12	*
Total	18	

in assignment

the village lands were then divided into separate and allotted to the several Vrittidaris, on the other hand, the management of the villages was retained by the Inamdar as manager of the Charities, and the net revenues realized year by year were divided amongst the several Vrittidars in proportion to their respective shares.

3. Matters went on smoothly for several years till about the year 1865 when a friction arose between the manager and the Agrahorikas owing to the latter not receiving their Vritti allowances regularly and some of the Agrahorikas

Civil Courts for the recovery of their Vritti allowances.

4. While the parties were thus contending in Civil Courts, the Inam settlement took place in 1880. During the enquiry, the Inam Assistant observed that the Agrahar was in a miserable state; that it was obligatory on the part of the Vrittidars to reside in the Agrahar and maintain it in good condition and that such of the Vrittidars as failed to take up their residence permanently in the Agrahar should forfeit their Vritti grants. He accordingly proposed a re-distribution of the Vrittis to the exclusion of certain

Agrahar

Agraharam Vrittidars, who had not taken up or agreed to take up their residence permanently at the Agraharam. The proposals were first accepted by the Inam Supt but subsequently Valoo the Chief Commr having decided that residence at the Agraharam was not always a necessary condition of Agraharam grants. The Vrittidars at the same time petitioned the Inam Supt complaining against the proposals of the Inam Assistant and praying that the names of all the 12 Agrahirikars might be entered in the Title-Deed, but the Inam

would not be entered in the Title-Deed. The villages were finally registered as Devadaya grant[a], to be held by "the manager for the time being" of the Charities established by Nand Krishna Dass.

(a) vide Copy of Quit-Rent-Roll filed in the records.

B

5. In 1885 the Sub-Div Offr of French-Rocks found the Justrs all in neglected condition and after enquiry and perusal of the previous records, passed an order[b] directing that the Justrs and their endowments should be taken over under the direct management of the Amildar. The order was accepted by the Dy Comr who instructed the Amildar-

(b) No 349 dated 2nd October 1886

of-

F

of Q. Narasipur Taluk to assume the management of the villages and remit the collections to the Amildar of Tirumangapotam Taluk, in view to the money being applied for the benefit of the charities. An Istihar notifying such assumption was accordingly published on the 8th Dec. 1886 for the information of all concerned. On proceeding however, to settle the revenue affairs of the villages, the Amildar experienced at the outset some difficulty in collecting the rents from the ryots as the munsiffs' Court had previously attached the villages for debts due by the managers to the Vrutthidars and had appointed

(c)

Thereupon reported the foregoing circumstances to Govt and sought orders on the subject of the liability of the Inam villages to attachment by Civil Courts in satisfaction of the manager's personal debts. Later on in July 1889, the Dy Commr addressed another report to Govt submitting copies of the attachment order issued to his office by the Civil Court and soliciting early decision of the question. The Court was at the same time written to by the Dy Commr intimating that the matter had been reported to Govt for orders. The Amildar also directed to make arrangements

(c) Letter no 63 2-5-88 to Govt

arrangements for the regular Jamabandy and revenue collection of the two villages which ~~took~~ he did with effect from the year Sarvajit (1887-8).

6. In December 1889, Kuruna Subbamachar, one of the Agraharadar Vrittidar petitioned the Dy Commr. submitting copies of Civil Court Decrees obtained by him and certain other Vrittidars in respect of the Vritti allowances due for the years Tarana, Parthiva & Vyaya, and praying for the payment of the decreed amounts out of the revenues of the Inam villages collected

The Dy Commr (Mr Michaljohn) submitted the petition and its enclosures to Government for orders, observing, as his opinion, that the amount recovered by Govt since 1886 and held in deposit should first be utilized for repairing the Chattram and meeting the cost of the maintenance of Praja Sivices in the Temples, and that any balance remaining after meeting the above expenditure might be rateably distributed to the Vaithiadars according to the Decree and in the proportion of the Income Govt.

7. In April 1892, the Dy Commr (Mr Ramaswami Iyengar)

at the instance of the Sub-Div Officer of Gudalur-Rocks, called upon the Amildar of Gudalur taluk, to submit a statement of the amount-standing on the Inam villages of Kurugunni and Bussambetti since the assumption of their management by Govt, and forwarded the statement to the Sub Div Officer with a request to submit detailed proposals in respect of the distribution of the amount among the Vettidars. According the Amildar of Erumadu-patana submitted a statement of Agraharam and of the amounts claim

(d) Vide order no 12 dated 9-4-92

(e) Vide no 83 dated 9-5-92

(f) No 584 dated 15-8-92

according to this statement

total amount claimed

all the Vrittidars except-

who were not forthcoming, (g) Smt. Vedavyasachar v Manoodi Madhava

was Rs 8464-6-1/2 as

detailed below :—

according to Decree :—

obtained by 6 Vrittidars in respect of allowances for Lavana, Lanthana & Vyaya :—	Principal 1542-3- Court-costs 540-2- Interest 493-14-1/2 2576-4-

(b) for subsequent years up to the end of Khara :—

Principal	4400-0-
Interest	1488-2-
	5888-2-

Grand Total Rs 8464-6-

Of the foregoing amount, the Arbitrator disallowed

disallowed Rs 1488-2-0 the interest shown in item (2) as an unreasonable claim, and recommended the disbursement of the balance amounting to Rs 6976-4-1. On this the Dy. Commr passed an order no 645 dated 14th November 1892 directing that the amount then in deposit should be distributed equally amongst the 18 Vrittiars (whether decree holders or no) but that as the decree holders had been compelled to resort to Civil Court owing to the negligence of the Manager the latter's share of the Vritti allowance should be distributed amongst the decree holders

to compensate them for
Court costs. The Amildar
of Sreerangapatam thereupon
proceeded to disburse
the amount to the several
Vrittidars in ~~order~~ accordance
with the Dy Comr's order.

8. One of the Vrittidars
who thus received payment
was Lahasaravana Lakshmi
Narasimhachar. On this,
Kurma Subbannachar
and the other decree-holders
petitioned the Dy Comr
stating that the Vrittis
of Lakshmi Narasimhachar
and of two others (Alur
Devara Bhatta and Kulgani
Krishnasastry) had been
resumed at the Inam Settlement
and protesting against the
payment of any money to

them

them out of the revenues of the Inam Villages. On receipt of this petition, the Dy Comr passed a further order on 806 dated 17th January 189, directing that the allowance of the 3 resumed Vrittis should along with the Maddika (manager) Vritti, be divided among the Decree-holders in adjust-ment of Court Costs in-curred by the latter. The money paid to Lakshmi Narasimhachar was there-upon taken back.

9. In November 1893, a petition was received by Government in the Mugrai Department complaining

by Nawab Krishna Dass had fallen into decay and that the proceeds of the Inam villages endowed thereto were not being applied to for the purposes of the Institution - The Dy Commr, to whom the petn was referred, reported[g] that the Institution were all in dilapidated condition and that the representative of the founder was for resigning the management of the Institution in the hands of Government. The Inam Reg pertaining to the grant was thereupon called for and after a perusal of the records, the Govt in the General Dept directed[h] that the Inam villages should be resumed

(g) No 1116 dated 12-4-94.

(h) Pro No $\frac{963-6}{8199}$ dated 16th July 1894.

thro

the object of the grant having totally failed, and observed that a reasonable grant from the general revenues of the State would be assigned for the restoration and maintenance of the Chattrams and the Temples as Govt. Religious.

10. The Inam villages were thereupon resumed by Govt. with effect from the year Jaya (1894-5).

11. In December 1894, the Agraharam Vrittidars complained to the Dewan against the resumption of their Vrittis as above. On this the Govt. issued in the Revenue Dept. an order No 9048-9 / R 1369 dated 2nd December 1894 observing that

and the resumption of the
Inam was just and proper
and must continue in full
force and effect but that
the restoration of the Vrittis
or their equivalents to the
Agrahorikas would be
considered after the latter
build their Agrahar houses
in a locality approved by the
Dy Commr and otherwise
conform to the conditions
of the grant. The Agrahorikas
are accordingly directed
to apply to the Dy Commr for
a suitable site for the
Agrahar -

2. In the meanwhile Alur
Narasimha Bhatta and
Sahasramanna Lakshmi
Narasimhachar petitioned
Govt praying for the disbursement

of the Vritti allowances due for previous years.

(i) These petitions were referred to the Dy Commr for report as to

(1) whether the Vritti amounts claimed were due in Depts at the Talluk Treasury,

(2) whether there was any objection to the petitioners being paid the arrears due to them for the years previous to the date of the assumption of the Inam villages as directed in the govt order of the 16th July 1874 and (3) what amount should be due to each of the petitioners. In reply, the Dy Commr, Mr A Ranga Swami Iyengar in his

(i) Nos 307 & 367 dated 18th Aug & 1st Sept 1874

Letter to Govt. No 735 dated
15th January 1895, reported
that a sum of Rs 365-14-10
was due to each of the petitioners
that the amount was held
in Deposit at the Treasury
and that he saw no objection
the petitioners being paid
the arrears held in deposit
if they would agree
build houses on sites
be allotted for the Agraharam
at Sreerangapatam. On
his (g) an order was issued
by Government sanctioning
a payment of the arrears
of the allowances to the petitioners
Alur Narasimha Bhatta
and Lakshmamma Lakshmi
Narasimhachar, in case
of their executing Muchalikas

(g) Ro No 731-2
Mug Fr 31-94
dated 16th Feby
1895

E.

binding themselves to build houses and reside in the Agraharam within 6 months of payment. Alur Narasimha Bhatta accordingly petitioned the Dy Commr on the 29th April 1895 for the disbursement of the allowance due to him and the Dy Commr, Mr Rangasami Iyengar, forwarded the petition to the Amildar of Sreerangapatam with directions to disburse the allowance due to the Vrittidar in pursuance of the Govt order referred to above.

In December 1895, the Dy Commr reported that the sites were selected at Sreerangapatam on the 20th December 1895

Letter No 745 dated 30th December 1895

Inspector Superintendent,
and in reply he was requested
to send a statement shewing
the particulars of the Vritti
allowances held in deposit
in the Srirangapatam Taluk
Treasury as payable to the
Vrittidars in question.

In this office
no $\frac{965}{31-94}$
4th March 1896.

In January 1896,
Kurma Subbamachar
one of the Vrittidars presented
a petition to H. H. the Maharani-Regent,
which was forwarded[x]
to the Dy Commr with a request
to be furnished with a
statement of the Vrittidars
who had been allotted sites
at Srirangapatam for
the construction of a new
Agraharam as reported in
his letter no 745 dated
[illegible]

x With this office
Docket no 66 dated
9th July 1896

dated 30th December 1895 and also of those to whom sites had still to be allotted. In the case of the latter the Dy Commr was requested to take immediate steps to assign them suitable sites and report the completion of the allocation to Government. Information was also required if all or any of the Vrittidars who had been already assigned sites had taken possession of their sites and begun to construct upon them.

In reply the Dy Commr reported* that none of the Vrittidars came forward to take possession of the sites

* In his letter no 549 dated 15th Septr 1896

that he often wrote the Vrittidars that he was ready to show them the sites, but none showed a desire to build. The statement of the Vritti allowances held in deposit was furnished as desired.

Thereupon a Govt. order[x] was passed requesting the Hy Commry. to prepare a plan of the sites newly allotted to the several Vrittidars and serve the same on them with a notice requiring them to take charge of their respective sites and commence building thereon within a specified time, forming them at the same time that in case they failed to do so, the sites would be

x No 500 dated 5th October 1896

be otherwise disposed.

With reference to his Govt. order the Dy. Commr. was moved to submit a detailed report together with the Sub-Div. Officer's letter. The Dy. Commr's report with the Sub-Div. Officer's communication and the late ~~Hon'ble~~ Imperial Secretary's memo with Sir Sheshadri Iyer's order recorded thereon dated 3rd October 1898, are attached to this note in original.

Letter no 1164 dated 7th April 1898

Thereupon the Dy Commr was asked to furnish some additional ~~[illegible]~~ information regarding the Vrittiers, which was accordingly received in November 1898 x

With the Dy Commr's letter no 80.

In view to fix the exact amount available for distribution to the Vrittidars, it was necessary to settle beforehand the actual amount of net receipts from the villages after making all payments due from the gross collections on account of Goti Remuneration to Patels and Chaubhagnes &c The entire file was therefore transferred x to the Secretary Fmd and Revenue Dept —

x: on the 3rd Dec 1898

for necessary

being passed regarding orders were accordingly passed in the

the Lotji &c, Send with

reference to the Dewan's.

orders referred to above

dated 3rd October 1898,

Govt. order no 797-8
mug Fr 31-94

dated 25th December 1898

was issued, which is

hereto attached in original

Department on

13th Dec: 1898

Sanctioning

Lotji & other bil

Annoswami Ramanuja Iyengar, Archak of the Sri Pattabhiramaswami Temple had in the memorial here contending that he was entitled to $1\frac{3}{8}$ Vrittis endowed to the Temple and that therefore the amount due ~~[illegible]~~ thereon to the resumption of the Vrittis should be paid ~~to him~~. The Dy Commr for Guttamacharly enquired into the claim and submitted a detailed Rubkari ~~[illegible]~~ supporting the claim of the petitioner. The Govt accordingly

to him as he had since repaired the temple at his own cost and been performing the Poojas

- vide G.O. dated 30th April 1898

directing the payment of the amount viz Rs 644-7-8, being the arrears due to him.

In December 1899 the Dy Commr was asked ⊛ to report what steps had been taken for the adjustment of the claims of the Vrittidars as directed in Govt order no 797-8 dated 25th December 1898 and was also requested to expedite the submission of the report called for in the last paras of the order.

In reply, the Dy Commr ⊛ stated that the Comptroller and called for two refund bills with the Treasury Officer's certificate on each for audit-

⊛ In his Dkt no 685 dated 23rd Dec: 1899

⊛ In his Dkt no 89 dated 6th January 1900

credit, the one through Govt for the sums credited to Govt in the Municipal Bank and the other direct to his office for sums transferred to "Unclaimed Deposit" that the two bills had been accordingly sent to the Treasury for certificate. As regards the final report called for in the last para of the Govt order, the Dy Commr stated that the same would be sent on payments being made to the vrithidars concerned. The refund bill for Rs 4411-10-4 for the year 1896 to 1894 was subsequently received from the Dy Commr with his D.O.

I find that no action was taken on this communication.

This is so far as the previous history is concerned —

In September 1902, Annasami Ramanujiengar, Archaka of the Sri Pattabhiramaswami temple submitted a petition to His Highness the Maharaja praying for the payment of the arrears of the Vritti amount subsequent to 1894. This petition was forwarded to this Office in the Private Secretary's Off. No 119 dated 4th September 1902, with the observation that His Highness would be "glad to know if final orders have been passed and

"and if so [illegible] effect
"has been given to them."

In forwarding the petition to the Dy Commr, it was enquired with reference to the previous orders on the subject (i) as to whether the sites had been made over to the Vrittidars for building agraharas at Sreerangapattana (ii) whether the three Vrittidars Lakshmi Narasimhachar, Ahur Narasimha Bhatta and Srinivasa Murti had also been allotted sites (iii) whether the amounts due to the three Pastors viz Ramaswamy temple, Anjaneya-swami temple and Chattram had been held in the Treasury (iv) whether the other Vrittidars had all been paid the sum of money due

Ramanujamgar had been paid the sum of Rs 644-7-8.

In reply, the Dy Commr has, with his letter no 62 dated 16th October 1902, sent a statement showing the amount paid to each of the vittadars as approved and established their claim - also reports that sites are awaited over to some of the vittadars but that none of our built houses owing disputes as regards the ownership of vrities; that as regards Shri Narasimha Pakirtha and others, a detailed report would be submitted later on; that the amounts due to the 3 trustees have been held in the Treasury;

(1) Krishnamma
(2) Kumma Subbammakka
(3) Dadamma
(4) Krishnaiah
(5) Vasudeva Sastri
alias
Annayya Sastri
(6) Subba Krishna Rao
* (7) Sarmathi Bai

Read the marginal noted 7 persons have failed to appear and receive payment and that Annamma Ramanujayya was paid Rs 644-7-8 as directed in the Govt order of 1898.

Submitted

18/10/02

Note continued :-

With reference to the above, the Dy Commr was requested to send an authenticated copy of the Sanand granted by His Highness the Maharajah to Nand Krishna Das in 1820 as well as a copy of the Sanand or Sanands granted by the donee to the vrittidars — Information

In this office no dated 29th Oct 1902.

(i) the condition of the Chattram and the temples of Ramaswara and Anjaneya

(ii) whether the Vrittidars were not paid the Vritti amount on condition of building houses within a specified time

(iii) why the claims of the Zamins should not be settled first both in respect of the arrears due to them and of their future grant.

(iv) why the payment of the arrears of the Vritti amount should not be withheld in the case of the other Vrittidars whom the amount is still due, until they gave

Supplement

sufficient guarantee that they would build the house within a reasonable time. The Dy Comr's proposals were also called for in respect of a grant pending by Govt in the Financial Department in their Ro of July 1894.

In his letter no 128 dated 4th December 1902 H.

With reference to the above, the Dy Comr reported that steps had been taken to get the original Sunnud granted by Nowl Krishna Das to the Vrittidars and that an authenticated copy of the Sunnud granted by His Highness the Maharaja to Nowl Krishna Das would be forwarded along with the

the above Samadhi —

He also reported that the Chattram at Paschimavahini was almost in ruins and that an estimate for the restoration of the building together with proposals for its upkeep would be sent soon. He further stated that the temples of Ramaswamy and Anjaneyaswami were in a fairly good condition and puja was regularly conducted in both the temples.

With regard to the removal of Samadhi in the Chattram

Dr Conner (Mr Ramanujiengar) of opinion that he not quite sure of necessity to revive it at Paschimavahini, the are living very close

close to the Secunderabad Cantonment where Sadworth was being given - He further stated that the payment of the Vritti allowance had been ordered unconditionally in the Govt. order of the 25th December 1898 and so no security was taken from the Vrittidars who were already paid without being bound to build houses - As regards those who have not yet been paid, however, he stated that the payment would be withheld until they gave sufficient guarantee that they would build houses within a reasonable

time. In respect of his temples, he expressed it as his opinion that their claims should be settled at once both in respect of the arrears due to them and of future ground, instead of waiting till all the Vaishnavas had built their houses –

With reference to the abuse the Dy Commr was imprisoned in December 1902 that in the absence of the copies of Samvado they asked for final orders could not be passed.

In reply the Dy Commr, Mr P.R. Venkatavaradhangar requested the Govt to sanction the payment of the Vritti amount to Annasami

Arunasami Ramanujiengar
who was only an Arachak
and his vritti was not
a personal grant like
the others. On the
same subject 2 or 3
reminders have been
received from the Dy
Commr requesting some
to make the payment to
the Arachak in question
adding at the same time
that some of the Vritidars
have not yet produced
copies of the Sanads
granted to them. Reminders
have been received from
also
the Private Secretary to
His Highness the Maharaja
Submitted

15/4/03

Note Continued. —

The Dy Secretary in charge
of the Surveyor's Department
inspected the Chattram
in April last and directed
the Assistant of the Tuticorin
Taluk to submit an estimate for
repairing the building -
An estimate has been
accordingly received
from him for Rs 2714.
Submitted
10/6/03

Note Continued :-

The Dy Comrs
in Communication No
2 dated 29th December 1903
requests sanction for the
entertainment of a Caretaker to the Chatt
Rs 5 per month, the
charge being debited

to the Ryots of
Inam villages of
and Basavanah
Submitted

12/1/04

Sub J/u to the Dewan.

There are two questions in connection with this case - (1) sanctioning the refund bill for Rs 4411 - 10 - 0 being the arrears due to the Vrittidars from 1886 to 1894, the disbursement of which has already been sanctioned in G. O's No 731 - 2 Revy 731-94 dated 16th/u Feb 1895 and No 797 - 8 Revy 731 - 94 dt 25th/u Dec 1898 on condition of their using the money for building agrahar houses on the site allotted to them at Periyapatam. None of the Vrittidars including some of those who have already received a portion of the Vritti amount have cared to build houses. The amount as per present refund bill - recd

must be disbursed, although the bill may be sanctioned, inasmuch as they are the Vrutti-dars, are earmarked in conformity to the condition imposed on them

(4) Revenue Consideration however does not apply to the temple, and Chattram institutions are unsupported like at Pachaimalaimalai, below.

1. Sri Kamakshi Amman temple	8	Vrutti
2. Sri Jalatheerthamamam temple	1 3/8	do
3. Sri Angaya Sundary temple	1 11	do
4. Chattram	1	do
Sadavarth Dharma	1	do

From a statement furnished by the Vidyar of Tiruvaipeen, it will be seen that the accumulated amount for each Vrutti from 1896 is R. 218 for the first mentioned, this gives an annual income of about R. 32 for the first & second temples, R. 6 for the 3rd & each R. 24 for the 4th & 5th. The temples are stated to be in good condition and worship is being performed

Annasawmy Ramanujan
who is archak of the ~~Perak~~ Melur
temple has been long clamouring
for the disbursement of
the allowance and even
threatens to give up the
worship. In G O No 963-6
-9199 dated 16th July 1894,
it is stated that a reasonable
grant would be made
from the General revenues
for the maintenance of
these charitable institutions
and I think that unless
some assignment is made,
the institutions will go
uncared for. The full
Vritti amount as stated
above may be assigned
~~in proportion~~ to the institutions
according to their respective
shares. If this proposal
is approved, a draft will
be submitted for approval
for being issued from the
financial branch.

[illegible]
17 6 '14

18393/17 6 '14

19489 17 6 '14

The delay in this matter
is simply provoking -
The personal V[illegible]

a final chance
before they proceed to prove up their
claim - More monthly time may be
given - If they prove properly security
may be given & even that
~~the~~ it is utilised for the purpose of
Approach - To give effect to this order
this refund bill may be sanctioned
but the money must be held in deposit
until it is utilized as above -

The three temples & Chatharam
must be given their Vrutti
money - and the performance
of the service must be supervised properly -

The Chatharam in Ramchandra
Vahanam must be built -
is said about ~~the~~ the estimate of the
plan - I should like to know more
about it A cost estimate must be
submitted.

(Illegible) 20.VI.04

Rmd 23/6/04

Draft accd (Illegible) 28/6/04

Two draft Orders are submitted,
in which all the questions involved in
this correspondence have been dealt with.
One of the drafts, which has to issue from
the Financial Deptt of the Govt, authorizes the
transfer

to the Dy Comr in the Mugrai Deptt, of certain funds which are now credited to Land Revenue. The other draft which will issue from the Mugrai Deptt gives instructions to the Dy Comr as to how he should make payments to the parties.

I confess I see no such intrinsic difficulty.

Owing to the difficulty of the subject, there has been some delay in the submission of these drafts which I very much regret.

The Kurna Subbanna Char, in his received with the Private Secretary's Memo No 90 dated the 6th July 1904, that Annasamy Ramanyengar on account of his bad conduct, should not be given the sums of money which he claims. He has produced copies of certain old judgments of the Mysore Munsiffs Court passed so far back as 1879.

As a matter of fact however, Annasamy Ramanyengar was paid by Govt the amount due

from 1886 to 1894, and he is now to get money for the subsequent years under the orders now issuing. It seems therefore unnecessary to go behind the Govt orders and question the claim of Chandrasane Ramanujengar. His petition may, in my humble opinion, be rejected

A list is attached showing the Memoranda received from time to time from the Private Secretary and the replies given

Chandra Sanchu
23/7/04

Submit to the Dewan

58

The delay, indeed and the great is very unsatisfactory. There's such much misdirection in the instructions and it is not of the usual standard care and consideration shown both the drafts that made as they proceed under my orders proceed a more thing. They are issued now. Even the first wrong note was submitted by Shamachari in the that terms, plus the

(The file may be kindly ordered to be [illegible])

1652

కుటుంబ సంక్షేమ శాఖ తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్రం
16-10-23 నాటి జి.ఓ.ఎం.ఎస్. 391 నెం. చదవండి

రుత్వాచే

తిరుమల తిప్పనగారి అనుబంధ పత్రము

పుష్కరాల్లో అన్నదానము నిమిత్తము నేను కుటుంబ సంక్షేమ శాఖకు 30-1-1905 నుండి పేదవారి నుండి తిరుమల రాయల్ కాలేజ్ స్థాపించి దాని అభివృద్ధికి కృషి చేసి ఉన్నాను. తదనంతరం 13-9-1915 నుండి పేదవారి నుండి నిధులు సంపాదించి తిరుమల తిప్పనగారి అనుబంధ పత్రము కింద 13-3-24 నాటి జి.ఓ.ఎం.ఎస్. 645 నెం. చదవండి

అనుబంధ పత్రము కింద నిధులు సమకూర్చి ఉన్నాను.

అనుబంధ

26-3-24

11821

11821

నెం. 28/3/24
5.3.316 H.C
4-6-24
muz

No. 645/23

8/12402

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 14-2-24

[illegible]

[illegible]

18/3/24

ಅಂಗಾರಾಜ್ಯ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ

ಅಕ್ಷರ ದಾಸೋಹ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ

ಕಾಲ್ಕುಲ ಬಳಿಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಕ್ಷರ ದಾಸೋಹ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ನಾನು ದಿನಾಂಕ: 01/12/23 ರಂದು ಸಂಜೆ 4 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಶಾಲೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಗಣಪತಿ ಎಂಬವರು ನನ್ನ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಗೆ ಕರೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಬರಲು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ನಾನು ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೋದಾಗ ಅಂಗಾರ ಕೆ.ಪಿ.ಎಸ್ ಶಾಲೆಯ ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕಿಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಾ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಅಮೃತಾ ಎಂಬವರು ಶಾಲೆಯ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಂತಿದ್ದರು. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಾ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಅಮೃತಾ ಎಂಬವರು ನನ್ನ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಗೆ ಕರೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಬರಲು ಹೇಳಿ

ಕಂದಾಯ ವಿಭಾಗ

Memo

-11-23

9/11/23

Mkn 391/ 17/11/23

78

7897

1091

16/11-23

Mkn 391/

memo.

e required 7 886
ormation may
ot soon from the
office and
port submitted

14-11-20

7-215

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕೀಲಾರ ಗ್ರಾಮ

ಸರ್ವೆ

ಸದರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಹೆಸರಾಂತ ಶ್ರೀ ವರ್ತಕ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಪ್ಪ

ಇವರು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 905 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆ 19/57

ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವಸತಿ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು

ದಿನಾಂಕ 27-4-1923 ರಂದು 686 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದಾಯ ಮಂಜೂರಾಗಿ ಈ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು

ವಾಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 905 ರಲ್ಲಿ

ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವಸತಿ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು

ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವಸತಿ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು

21/11/23

1-11-1923

V 6326

ಸಂ. 288

ಸಹಿ

ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

7619

(8) [illegible]

(8) [illegible]

1 [illegible] 30-1-05 [illegible]

2 [illegible] 13-9-15 [illegible] 16/20 · 10-15 [illegible] 338/469

3 [illegible] 1-2-05 [illegible]

4 [illegible] 19-9-15 [illegible]

[illegible]

12 3/24.

23487

COURT FEE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ONE ANNA

2/30

50-1-05

ONE ANNA

L. 3370
L.F.

Sl. 37
21.9.15
Sol. closed

18-9-15

నం. 278

విషయం

[illegible]

28-9-15

[illegible]

[illegible] 2-10-15 [illegible]

Sl. 5153
Sol. closed
20.10.15

16-10-15

15.10

[illegible]

[illegible]	10 [illegible] 90 x 34 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 19-9-75 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

C. N. Krishnamurthy
[illegible]
19-9-75

No. 691/13.24

13/3/24 645

26/3/24

13/3/24

ಗಣಿಚರ್ಚೆ

ನಿ

1306

12/23-24

ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಸಿ ಸಿ ಗಣಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ

ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದು

ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರೀಕರಣ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಯನ್ವಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ಅಳವಡಿಸಿ ಕಡತಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

686

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1905 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1915 [illegible]

[illegible] 30 [illegible]

27-10-1923

[illegible]

30.10.23

Find out the record
[illegible] up

Letter No. A.C. No. 391/2223 dated 16th October 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Municipal Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your Do. letter No. 6.884/2223 dated 12th October 1923 calling for a report as to when Srimati Ananta char and Vrittidar Sahasranamada Lakshmi Narasimhachar built houses in Nowl Krishna Dos, Agrahar at Seringapatam and when for the first year Municipal tax was levied on these houses the referred in the Revenue Secretary's letter No. 1912/Muz 2 64-22 2 dated 18th December 1922, I have the honor to state that from the report received from the Amildar in the last I seen that Srimati Ananta char had built the house by about October 1905 and that Municipal tax was first levied on this house in the year 1906-07 (paragraph 2. The Amildar further reports that on enquiries of Sahasranamada Laxmi Narasimhachar it is ascertained that the said individual (S. Lakshmi Narasimhachar) applied for a license to build the house in January 1905 and built the house, that as this house became dilapidated owing to its not having been occupied, it was repaired during 1915 the after taking a license

this house is said to have been levied for the time during 1916-17.

As the Builder's report was not express as to whether these houses were constructed exactly within the period we can stipulate in the Government order dated August 1904, he has been asked to submit definite situation on the point. A fresh report on this at ~~if necessary~~ will be submitted if necessary, after calling from the Builder & by a reference to the connected file of old papers on the subject available in this office.

4. I regret the delay allowed in this office in furnishing the report called for. Smt. Atlanta Chari's petition dated 24th October 1922 received with the Revenue Secretary's letter under reference is retransmitted herewith.

No A.C. 391/22-23 mmy. Memo fC 5277
7579

Copy is forwarded to the Builder, Srirangapatna Taluk for submission of a clear report on the point referred to in para 3 supra within one week with reference to his report No. 1028 dated 23rd April 23 received with Friend Rocks to Division officers goddar No. 609/1923 dated 2nd July 23

Mysore
dated 16th Oct 1923.
Comp. Mlu

Assistant
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Vuittider.	amount.		Trust order & date
Narasimiah.	500/-		No 1812-3/290-14- D) 4.2.25-
(2) P. Subbaiah	500/-		1578-9/Mung 350-15-2 D) 8.1.26.
(3) Srinivasa murthi	500/-		1820-11/290-14-3 D) 11.2.25
(4) Ananta murthiachar.	500/-		3126-7/Mung 656-15-3 D) 25-6-27

INDEX 1926 Nuz 813

SECTION
FILE NO.

Dec 25

In extension

D.O letter no A. 19
the information about Naval Krishna Das
Charity funds, I am to note in
(See overleaf)
the margin the fnt. order & date
sanctioning advances to the Vrithidars.

As regards the item no. 5. Kuppanna
no information is available in this
office as to when the loan was sanctioned
by Govt.

Yours faithfully
V. Ramaiah
Secretary
Spodam

B. Ramiah. Sd/ B.A.
Superintendent
Nuzvid Secretariat Branch

WB

Mizr. 52|

D.O. No. 797
Muz 264-22

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT,

Dated Bangalore, the 6/1/ *1926.*

Dear Mr. Krishna Rao,

Please refer to your D.O. No. A. dated the 19th December 1925 promising to send me information regarding Nowl Krishna Doss Vrittidar soon after your return to Head Quarters on the 21st idem. The information asked for in my letter No. 753/Muz. dated the 17th December 1925 has not been received yet. I am to request you to kindly send it up at once not later than three days.

Yours sincerely,

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Dear Mr Krishna Iyer

Please refer to your D.O. No. A 8 19.12.25 promising to send me an imp. regarding Amt Krishna Iyer's retirement from after 8 yrs service to 1st & 2nd in the 21st idem. ~~Yours~~ The imp. asked for in my letter No. 75 3/mur 8 17.12.25 has not been rec'd yet. ~~and~~ I am to request you to kindly send it up at once — not later than 3 days. Yours

Sd.

12/8/26

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

T. K. Shanmukham 8/8

Hon'ble, Secretary

constructing Agraharam
houses. I shall be much
obliged by your kindly ~~letting~~
~~me know~~ intimating me
by wire the no and date
of each of the S.O.
sanctioning the advances
as it ~~is often~~ the information
is not readily available
in this office.

The favour of a
by return ~~of post~~
reply ~~not later than~~
~~Saturday~~ next is requested.

Yours faithfully

Supdt., Inc. Tax.
Red Seal

To

T. Krishna Rao Esq. B.A.
Amildar, S. Parlakimedi.

Name of beneficiary	Amount of advance	Date
A Narasimhiah	500	26-
P. Subba Rao	500	10-
Srinivasa Murti	500	4-
Bhaskara Murthy	5000	13-

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Misc. 7. no. 26-1922 re
Nawl Krishna Dass Appalar
of S. Patam.

Rev. Secretary's orders
contained in paras 68 &
71 of the office-note:-

Misc. 7. 290-16 containing
G.O. dt 11.2.1915 sanctioning
advance to Alur Narasimha
since based and is submitted.

G.O. dt 31st July 1907
y. is flagged.

The other references
are being traced -
The Collector of S Patam
who was written to
the subject, promises
to furnish info. early
and his reply is awaited.
Submitted.

Await the annotation
Reply
Early June 26

N.B. -Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

28/12/25

AV
23-12-25. The reply
being awaited.

The Collector has not been
reply received AV 6.26

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record

in the records. I wish to
the Amildar, Sri Gopalan
Palaka under the instructions of
the Tahsildar Sec. but
the Amildar simply replies
that he wd ~~reply~~ send
me the info. on form
as he went back to his
H.Q. He has not
done so yet.

I shall write Shri
Agarwal & ask for the
info. in 3 days.

5/1/26

Yes
5/1

The Amildar, S. Patan
was reminded on 6th
inst and his reply has

B.- Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

not been received as yet.

May the note be continued and the case re-submitted for orders?

"may some reminder as is available"

AE
15-1-26.

15.1.26

1) One more stringent reminder may be issued to the Amildar, & his reply awaited.
2) Meanwhile, the case may be worked up, with the available papers.

16/1.

Rev. Secy.)

16/1 18/1

Amildar's report received to-day (19th inst) is placed on the file.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slip, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

R HC 130/22-23

Transferred to HC 1190/2021 [illegible] 31-12-22 [illegible] 14/12

7269

S. Arasappa

[illegible]

P.L. 146 / 28-12-22

220

215

HC 1190/2021.

28-12-22

HC. Reference.

[illegible]

23-12-1922

[illegible]

Being Sub [illegible] the records are limited to only [illegible] HC 1190/2021 [illegible] 14-7-1921.

[illegible] 31-12-22.

R HC 1191/2021

[illegible]

Transmitted to my Court in Contravention of the Order in R HC 1190/2021 by 14-7-21, for hearing [illegible]

S. Arasappa 23/3/23

21/3/23

4207
26-3-23

ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಮೈಸೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್
ಸಾಹೆಬರವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಗೆ
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ವೆಂಕಟಕೃಷ್ಣದಾಸ್ಸೇ
ಟ್ಟರವರ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರದ ವೃತ್ತಿದಾರ್ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಮದ
ಅಶ್ವೀನರಸಿಂಹಾಚಾರರವರು ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಪಿ 3324

ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧಾ 105 ಈ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರದ ವೃತ್ತಿದಾರ್ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಒಬ್ಬನಿದ್ದೆ
18-7-22 ದಾರ. ಈ ವೃತ್ತಿ ಹಿಂದೆ ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಪಿತಾಮಹರಿಗೆ ಸಾ
ಹುಕಾರ ವೆಂಕಟಕೃಷ್ಣದಾಸ ಸೇಟ್ಟರವರು ಸಹಕರ್ತೃ
ದಶಧಾರಾಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ದಾನಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದು. ಇದು ಆ
ಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು ಪುತ್ರಪೌತ್ರಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನಡೆದುಬ
ರುತ್ತಿದೆ ಹಾಲೀ ಖಿದುವಿಯು ಈ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾ
ರದವರಹುಸುಂ ಮೇರಗೆ ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಂಸಾ
ರಸಮೇತವಾಗಿ ವಾಸಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಭಗವತ್ಸೇವೆಮನ್ನು
ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಮನ್ನು ದೇಶದ ಕ್ಷೇಮಂ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಮ
ನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಇದೇನೆ

2 ದಾನಕರ್ತೃರ ಉದ್ದೇಶವೂ ಇದೇರೀತಿಯಾದ್ದುಪ್ಪೆ
ನವನ್ನು ಕೊಂದಿದುಸಕಾರದವರೂ ಹೊಡೆಮೆಲ್ಲಂಡದಾನ
ಕರ್ತೃರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿಯೇ ಮೆಲ್ಲಂಡವೃ
ತ್ತಿದಾರರಿಲ್ಲರೂ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು
ಅಲ್ಲೇ ವಾಸಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೂ ಸದರಿ ವೃತ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು
ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರ್ದಿಗೆ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ವೃತ್ತಿದಾ
ರರಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರದಲ್ಲೇ ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿ ವಾಸ ಮಾಡುವಂತೆ
ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದರು.

3 ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹದಿಂದ ಪ್ರೇರಿತನಾದ ನನಾ
ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಜನಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ

మనేకట్టి అల్లీవాసమాడుత్తిదేనే. ఇదరింద శ్రీరంగపట్టణద టవనదల్లి ధనస్సు పిత్రార్జిత సేవాదభేశొందు మనెయు ఎల్లా శిథిలవాగిదనగె బహళ నష్టవాద్దల్లదే ఈ శొసమనే కట్టువదరల్లి కుంబానాల పట్టు ఇదరిందబహళ కష్టనష్ట శొందరెగళిగె గురియాగి బాలీ ఈగ ఈ నిర్జనప్రదేశదల్లి వాసమాడుత్తేనే.

4 మల్లండనన్న బాబు వ్యక్తి ఋణిదనగె సర్కారదవరిందనన్నగె పావతిమాగదే ఇరువుదరిందబహళ వ్యద్దనాదనాను ఈ షామరాలదల్లి నన్న దొడ్డప్పనవర శవన్ను కావాడువుదక్కె శక్తిసాలదేబహళ శొందరె పడుత్తిదేనే.

5 సర్కారదవరు అన్నగె మాడిద ధర్మవన్ను కుందుకొబరదినడిసి కొడబేకేంబుదు ఆదన్ను సర్కారక్కె సేరిసికొండు, దానప్రతిగ్రహ మాడి ఆదన్నే ముప్పు జీవనవాగిరువదన్నగె ఈ రీతిన స్వీకారవాగిశొందరె పడిసిరువుదు న్యాయవల్లవెంబువుదన్ను సన్నిధానక్కె వేద్యపడిసలు బహళ విషాదిసుత్తేనే.

6 బాలీ నాను వానరర్కళ మత్తు సర్కారదవర, ఈలు భయత్రేరసందేశవన్ను నేరవేరిసిరుత్తేనాదరూ నమ్మబిట్టు వ్యక్తిప్పేరిదవరిగె, మొదలాదవర్కళు నల్లరక్షిసా గవన్ను కొడుత్తిరువంతెయే, నన్నగూ నన్న జీవనక్కాగి నన్న బాబు బహళ బేసరిసిదనగె కొడిసికొడబేకాగి ఆ రీతి మాడిరువుదన్ను కేళుత్తేనే. మత్తు ఇదరవళగె ప్రతిలుత్తరవాగి ఇదువరెగూ సర్కారదల్లి దుడిసిట్టు నింతిరువుదరప్పేరి నన్నగె బంద

మనోరట్టలు అడ్డాన్నుహొట్టిరువుదు బాహె బళిసి
ధి విశిష్టవన్నునగే పావశిమాడువంతేయలూ నుమ్మ
ముందే నన్నుబిట్టు బాబరుతనవన్నువ షోంప్రశిసలాద
ల్లినగే పావశిమాడువంతేయూ గౌర్నమెంటిగే శి
పారసుమాడబేకాగి బేడికొళ్ళుత్తేనే.

7 సర్కారదవరు హీగిరుమంతే వ్యక్తిదారరు
ల్లరూ విరుద్ధవిద్దు అగ్రహారదల్లి మనోరట్టిపా
శమాడువవరేగూ బిట్టిరిగూ వ్యక్తి తనిపావశి
మాడలాగువుదిల్లవెంబు గౌర్నమెంటుతుర్సుం,
ఈ అమనోరట్టివాసమాడుత్తిరువనగే అన్యయి
సిరువుదు బహళవిషాదకరవాద్దల్లదే న్యాయ
విరుద్ధవాగియూ ఇదే. ఇతరవ్యక్తిదారర నతీవ
శిరిగినాను త్యాగ మాడువాచారనాగబల్లే. నన్నగే
అవరల్లి మనోరట్టిసి అల్లివాసమాడువరు
రే నన్నగే ప్రేరేపిసలు సాధ్యవే ఇదు సర్కారదవ
రకర్తవ్యవాగిదే. ఇతరరతప్పిసుత్తిగాగి నన్నన్ను జీవన
క్కిల్లదే శాపక్రియపడిసిదరేనా నేనుతుండబల్లే.

8 మత్తు వ్యక్తిదారరెల్లరూ మనోరట్టివాసమా
డలు రేట్టిద్దరిందు అవరు దానకర్తర బుద్ధిశ్రేభం
గపడిసిరుత్తిరే. ఆద్దరిందు సదరి వ్యక్తిగళిగే అవరు
భాధ్యస్తరల్లు. ఆద్దరిందు సకారకర్తరు జేరిసికొండి
దే ఎంబువ గౌర్నమెంటు ఆదేశవు ఆధారవాగిట్టు
కొండు నన్నగూ నన్న వ్యక్తిగళు తనిపప్పిసిరువుదు
బహళ శోభనీయవాగిదే. ఇదూ అల్లదే కొం
డనూరు మంతాదవరు నోరే వ్యక్తిదారరు నానాగే
శవల్లిద్దుకొండు రేమ్మ అగ్రహారద వ్యక్తిగళు
వన్నునిరాపేక్షరాగి పడేదుకొండుదు బహు
వాగి దారే.

9 నాను అగ్రహారదల్లి మనే కట్టి వాసమాడిద రూ ననగేనన్న వ్యక్తి రేస్థోరు మాడువుదిల్లవేందు గౌర్నమెంట్ నవరు హేళిదే యేరిళిసిద్దారే నానుమ నియేరే కట్టువ్యక్తిరలిల్ల. హాగిల్లదే గౌర్నమెంట్ వరేననగే ప్రోత్సాహ కొట్టరు ఆదరంతే సర్కారద శిక్షువళిగేయందు సర్కారదవరు మనాయి నిర్మిసుత్తిరువస్థళదల్లి సర్కారదిందలే ఆడ్వాన్సుమొ బలగువడెదు మనే కట్టువాసమాడువుద రింద నన్నవి విచార ఇరువ వ్యక్తి బార్గళదంతే యేళువిక్షుమదు నమగి బేసవాగిల్ల. ఇద్దనం గళిగళల్లా నన్న కైలాదమట్టిగే నమ్మ జీవిత్తద్దోవ్ వ్యవడిసిలుత్తేనే. ఈ విచారదల్లి నిమ్మ భాగవన్నా వంశవన్నూ శ్రేష్ఠవడిసలు ననగే శక్తి ఇల్ల. నాను కేవలబుద్ధివ, పరంపరెయాయువముదర జీవ నక్కిల్లదే దీనదశేయల్లిరుత్తేనే ఆద్దరిందనన్న ముక్తిజీవనవాగిరువ నన్న వ్యక్తి ననగే కొండిదే కొడబేకెంబువుదే నన్న ముక్తిమార్గవు.

10 ఈ విచారదల్లి సర్కారదవరిందనన్న జీవ గళిగళన్నెల్లా పరాంబరియాయిందనన్న విచారవన్నూ సర్కారక్కే స్పష్టవాగి నివేదిసిదెనాదరూ కూడా కొండిదే బేకాగిల్లదే ఫీదవిగళు అదేగే ఇంటర్వ్యూ కొట్టు సహదయ మాలిసి బేకాగి బేడికొళ్ళుత్తేనే, మహాస్వామి

నిమ్మ విధేయ

శీరంగపట్టణ
తారీఖు- 29-5-22

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Forwarded to another Inspector for
[illegible]

[illegible]

Post
[illegible]
[illegible]

24/2

[illegible]

96

No 57
2993

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Officer, Firearm Rooms, for submission of a detailed report on the points referred to above. The enclosure should be returned.

Dt. 15-1-23

Deputy Commissioner
Tuticorin District

R. No 689/22-23
346

Forwarded to the Asst. S. Palani Sir for a detailed report as called for in the above

S. Nagarajan
18.1.23

24/1/23

for the information
24/1/23
the Inl. Office

I am forwarding herewith herein applications registered No. 1103 Sreenivasachar, one of the Vritti dars of Dowl Krishna Doss Sahib's Agrahar at Seringapatam, I am directed to request that information may kindly be furnished as to when Sreenivasachar and Vritti dar Sahasranamada Lakshmi Narasimhachar built houses at Seringapatam, and whether these houses were constructed within the period of 1 year stipulated in Government order No. 7456 dated 14th August 1904 on the subject.

18-1-23

Cf 5036

(2) The first year in which house tax was levied on these houses may also be intimated.

He 689
22-23

(3) The favour of a very early reply is requested.

Sd/ [illegible]

- o months have
the report was 4.12.23
called for and inspite of the 24 2
reminders issued on the marginally*
noted dates, it is to be regretted
that no reply has been received
from you till now. pressing
reminders are being received
from the Govt. and the Army. Comm.
for this information. I, therefore,
request you will kindly treat
the matter as "Very urgent" and
furnish the ~~for~~ ation called
for within three days.

your previous report on the
Subject ending with Fr: Rocks
Sub. Div. officer's yadast no. 689/22-23
dated 12.12.23 is returned herewith
for reference and resubmission
with your reply.

Yours Sincerely
Thanimsamy

To. T. Krishna Rao, Esqr, B.A.,
Amildar

22.

Dear Sir,

7 1651

I write to invite immediate personal

U 4/22

to this office memo no. C. 39. dated 16.10.23 forwarding of letter addressed to Munz. C dated 16.10.23 and calling report with reference to par of the said letter as to whether Sumati Anantachar and Sirstidar Sahasranamaiah & Lakshminarasimhachar houses in Novel Krishnadevaraya's Agrahar at Seringapatam exactly within the period of one year stipulated in G.O. of 17th August 1904

ఎ. 1337x

నం. 735
7 346

[illegible]

1916-17 [illegible]

తా 24-2-1923

HC 689/2

కుక్కింట-వంశపువారి తిరుగుబడి 18

లేఖ నం 689/346 తుళువ

ఎ 1. 3166

1028

905 [illegible] 220 [illegible] 36 [illegible]

1906-1907 [illegible]

Read 26-4-23 HC.

23-4-19

1616
10-1-23

R: HC 689/22-23

Memo

Returned to the Sub Div officer, Jeuck Rocks for immediate report as to how the Amildar's report No 36 dated 22-10-1905 referred to in the above report has been finally disposed of and when exactly Lumadi Ananta Char built the house in the Agrahar. The matter should be treated as immediate & the information must be furnished within a week.

26.10.23

[illegible]
[illegible] R.O.C. 3,41
[illegible]
[illegible] 30.10.[illegible]

[illegible] No. 391/[illegible]

R. [illegible] 687/[illegible]

[illegible]

25/[illegible]
17.12.23

[illegible]

[illegible]



Presented in person by memorialist on 31.10.22

To

The First Member of Council to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

22-23

Most Respected Sir,

I the undersigned by name Sumathi Ananthachar, one of the Brahmin Vrithidars of Navalu Krishnadas ~~setty~~ Sahib Agrahar in Seringapatam, respectfully beg to tender this humble petition for your kind consideration and favourable orders.

The old dwelling houses in the Agrahar having collapsed owing to the effects of damp due to the proximity of the Cauvery river, the Government in their No.9048-9/R.1369 dated 2nd December 1894 ordered temporary resumption of the Vritti lands granted to the Vrittidars and directed that the realizations from the Vrittis be kept in deposit pending construction of quarters by the Vrittidars on sites selected by the Deputy Commissioner. On representation made by the Vrittidars to the Deputy Commissioner and to the Muzarai Superintendent, Government ordered in their No.745-6/31-94 dated 17th August 1904 that the realization held in deposit might be refunded to such of the Vrittidars as would construct suitable quarters on sites selected by the Deputy Commissioner at Seringapatam.

Accordingly in 1905, I constructed a house on the site allotted to me by the Deputy Commissioner and in strict accordance with the plan fixed by the Municipality in their license No.4 dated 28-1-1905. During construction, Government were pleased to refund to me on 16-11-1905 a sum of Rs.450/-

being the balance of my portion of the Vritti realizations kept in deposit till 1894 and on completion of the building a further payment of Rs.309-5-10 was also made to me on a measurement bill on 28-3-1910.

It is now 16 or 17 years since I constructed the house in accordance with the Government Orders, and on several representations having been made by me requesting that, as I have fulfilled all the conditions laid down by Government, the realizations from my Vritti lands held in deposit since 1894 onwards may kindly be ordered to be refunded after deducting a sum of Rs. 309-5-10 already paid to me, the Muzarai Superintendent gave me an endorsement in his No.3196/696-15-2 dated 12-3-21 that the arrears held in deposit could not be refunded as the building intended for the residential quarters of a Brahmin had been rented out to a School.

I respectfully submit that for some years the building was actually occupied by a Brahmin family who left it only five or six years ago. Since then, as it was rather difficult for some time to procure a Brahmin tenant for the house owing to scarcity of water in the locality and the fear of insecurity of life and property due to the house being isolated and at a distance from the town and as the building also required some repairs I had to rent it out to a School only for two or three years and that, with the knowledge of the Muzarai Secretary. My sole object in renting it out was to utilize the rent for repairs to the building and not for my maintenance. With this object in view, I allowed the rent income to accrue and to remain as a reserve with the tenant school, and I have preserved evidence to show to the satisfaction of the Government that I have spent the rent exclusively on repairs to the extent of over Rs.100/-. I have kept the Muzarai Secretary duly informed of these facts throughout and I have also since given a written statement in the matter.

On receipt of the endorsement above referred to, I arranged to have the School shifted elsewhere, gave the house for the occupation of a Brahmin tenant, and then submitted an application to the Muzarai Secretary on 26th October 1921 for the refund of the deposit amount, to which I received his endorsement No.697 Muz. 146-21-9 dated 11th August 1922 stating that as the orders passed by Government in G.O. No.3110-12 Muz. 696-15-11 dated 5th March 1921 were decisive, the application could not be reconsidered.

I beg to submit that the vrittidars of Konnanur are enjoying their Vrittis though they live in different places far away from their vrithis and it is my great misfortune that a similar concession should be denied to me. As it has not been possible for me till now to reside at the Agrahar owing to want of an independent means of livelihood, I am residing at Bangalore eking out livelihood by teaching students. If my vrithi lands with accumulated arrears are restored to me, I am even prepared to reside in the Agrahar myself. In conclusion I beg request that as I have fulfilled all the conditions imposed by Government in their orders read above, you will kindly order the refund of my portion of the amount held in deposit up to date from 1894 and also the restoration of my vrithi and thus enable a poor Brahmin to live peacefully and pray for the ever-increasing prosperity of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and his family and his Durbar.

Earnestly hoping my petition will receive due and benevolent consideration at your hands.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
His Highness and your most faithful subject,
सुमती अनंताचार्य
Sd. Sumathi Ananthachar,
Seethapathi Agrahar, Bangalore City

Bangalore,)
24 October 1922.)

D. No. 4643
R. 16.4.24.

Recd Dus 21.24.

To
The Second Member of Council
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I the undersigned Sumathi Ananthachar, Residing in Sethapathi Agrahar, New Tharugapet, Bangalore City beg to bring to your kind consideration the following facts.

I am one of the "Vrittidars" of the Seringapatam Navalu Krishna Das Sait Agraharam at Seringapatam.

In the above Agrahar at Seringapatam, the Government had given me a site behind the Srirangapatam Kannada School for building a house in a certain time. Consequently, I built a house on the site according to the Plan sanctioned by Government and in the time allowed by the Government. Although it is 19 years since the building was constructed, the amount due to me for my Vritti has not yet been paid to me.

On 31-10-1922 I gave you a Review Petition stating that I had built the house as per conditions and had prayed that the balance amount which is due to me be paid to me and also that the Vritti lands be given to my possession.

Although it is more than a year since I gave that Petition, no orders have been is-

to me till now. Besides this I have given Petitions to the same effect on 29-1-1923 and 22-9-1923 and I have also given a Petition to the Revenue Secretary to the Government on 22-12-1923 Although I have given so many Petitions as above stated I have received no orders ~~xxxxx~~ till now.

As I have completed the construction of the house within the time allowed by the Government, I now humbly request you to kindly pass orders to the effect that the amount of my Vritti which is remaining till now unpaid be paid to me and also that the lands of my Vritti be given to my possession.

Hoping that you will pass orders at an early date,

I beg to remain,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,

सुमती अनंत नारायण

Bangalore,
16th April 1924.

Sanskrit Pandit,
Seethapathi Agrahar,
New Tharugupet,
Bangalore City.

Letter No. C. 884 of 22-23 dated 25/26 September 1924, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter C. No. 39 of 22 23 dated 17th April 1924, regarding the agrahar houses built by Sirnal Anantachar and S. Kalthamimarasimhan Vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Dos charities, have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. 420/7-7-7. 264-22-6 dated 18th September 1924 from the Revenue Secretary to Government and to request that the further information therein called for may be furnished early — The enclosures are returned.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated 18th October 1924.

Revenue

Enc. 1 file.
C. No. 391/22-23

Memo

Copy of the above letter with that of the Revenue Secretary's letter is forwarded to the Sub Division office, Nanjangud for [illegible]

of a report on the points referred within a fortnight.

The Deputy Commissioner

R.Dc 689/22-23 dated

Immediate memo No. S 77/22-23

Forwarded to the Amildar, Seringapatam, for submission of a detailed report on all the points referred to within a week

Sub Inspector
French-Rocks

23/11/24

P 29/10/24

127

R.Dc 689/22-23.

6734

Received R.Dc 689 dated 8-11-1924

22800

20 NOV 1924

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District in reference to his memo No. C 391/22-23 dated 20-10-24. A reference kindly be made to the Sub-division officer, Nanjangud ~~for further information~~ ~~Enquiry~~ on the matter.

Letter No: C. 391/22-23 dated 25th November 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No: C. 884/22-23 dated 26th September 1924 calling for certain information with regard to the payment of Vritti to Sumati Anantachar and S. Lakshmi Narasimhachar from Nowi Krishna Dos Bharatiss, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division officer (French Rocks) from whom a report had been called for on the subject, reports in his Memo No: 659/22-23 dated 19th November 1924 that no Jama Kharch accounts in respect of the Vritti referred to are maintained in the Seringapatam Taluk and that all details could be had in T. Narsipur Taluk and that I am therefore writing to the Sub Division officer Nanjangud, to furnish the required information positively before the 10th December next, on hearing from him a further report will be submitted.

With reference to the [illegible] (iv) raised in Revenue Secretary's letter No: 420 of Misc 264-22-6 dated 18-9-24, the Amildar of Seringapatam reports that it is [illegible] that Sumati Anantachar has given his house for the occupation of Kesava Ranga Bhatta archak of the Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple.

Deputy Commissioner's office
Dated Mysore 2[illegible] November
[illegible]

Copy of the above forwarded to the Sub-division office

Tanjanagud in continuation of the office endorsement No. C. 391/1923

dated 22nd November 1924 for information and submission

of the report in lieu.

3469

Tahasildar

For Deputy Commissioner

Mysore district

Ref No. C. 236/1924-25

145

G.C.

Forwarded to the Manager for information

Submission of his report within the 5-10 December

here and further no delay in the matter.

28/11/24

From

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

To

THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Dated

Despatched

Received

~~General No.~~
No. C 391/22-23

Enclosure No.

C 391/22-23 Land file

Memo

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud for information with reference to his memo No.R.H.C. 236/24-25 dated 9-12-24 (returned herewith) The information called for may kindly be arranged to be furnished within the time specified above,. The t limit should on no account be exceeded.

Time limit

Immediate.

R.H.C. 236/24-25 dated 18-12-24

Forwarded to the Bailder T. Narasappa
Failure for submitting the information called for within the prescribed time will be treated as proving at the latter's risk.

21/12/24

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

SUB-DIVISION OFFICE
NANJANGUD
DEC 1924

No. G.391/22-23

Office of the Depu
Mysore I

Dated Mysore, 20t

FROM

A.V.Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
B. RAMASWAMAIYA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

To

K. CHANDY, ESQ., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore

Muzrai

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.G39
22-23 dated 22/25-11-24 re: the details of payment of
vritti to Sumati Ananta Ch and S.Lakshmi Narasimha
from Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, I have the honor to
state that the Sub Division Officer Nanjangud, reports
his memo No.R.H.C. 236/24-5 dated 9-12-24 that conseq
on the shifting of the Taluk Records at T.Narsipur in
connection with the recent floods, they have all been
mixed up and heaped together in a confused state, most
of the Daftors having been brothern at the time, that
records required cannot therefore be easily had for re
rence at once, that the Amildar requests some time to
search for the papers after arranging the records and
that he may be given time till 10th January 1925. In
circumstances, stated, I have allowed the Amildar time
till then and after receipt of the required report fro
the Sub Division Officer the information called for wi
be furnished.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient serva

Sd/ A.V.Ramanathan.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

TMK.

P.T.O

రాష్ట్రం లో:-

శ్రీ రాజేంద్రప్రసాద్ గారి వినతి పత్రము తేది 9.11.2004 కి సంబంధించి Rite వారి / నూతన కి సంబంధించి రెవెన్యూ శాఖకు రాయడమైనది

అనుబంధ సమాచారాన్ని పంపగలరు నివేదిక కొరకు

ఫైల్ 3233

ఆ పని ఎప్పుడు పూర్తి చేయగలరో తెలియజేయగలరు. దీనికి సంబంధించి మరియు 18.9.2004 నాటి 420/MUR 2004-22-6 నెం. గల లేఖ ప్రకారం పరిశ్రమల శాఖ లేఖ ద్వారా కోరిన వివరాలు పంపగలరు. ఇవి సకాలంలో అందకపోతే పని పూర్తి కాలేదంటారు. 10.12.2004 నాటి సమావేశానికి ఇట్టి వివరాలు లభ్యమైతే తప్ప సమాధానం ఇవ్వలేము కాబట్టి వివరాలు త్వరగా పంపగలరు

Rajendra Prasad
Minister for Revenue
7.11.04

236
ఫైల్ 6633 P119
7.13

I wanted the amount to be written off.

for immediate repat on the several points
in the revenue department letter referred to above.

26.11.04

ಮನವಿ

ನಂ. 91

ಹುಕುಂಗಳನ್ನು ಕಿಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಿಂಗಿ ಸಂಗತಿಯ ಕಾಂಬುವಾಗುವುದು ಗಣಿಕಾರಿಕೆ

ದ ಬಿಳಿಯಂದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಳಿಸಲು

ಕೈಕೆಕ್ಕಾ ಕಾ. ಕ ಹಾಕಿದ ಬಿಳಿ ಕೈ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಕಡಿದು

ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ ಸಂದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಡ ಕಾಂಕ್ಷಿಯ ಕೈ. ಮಾಡಿ

ಗಾಂಧಿಯಂದ ಕೈಗೆ ಕಲ್ಲು ಹುಡುಕಿ ಸಿಂಗಿ ಕಾರ್ಯ

ಕಡಿದು ಕೈಕೆಕ್ಕಾ. ಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೈಕೆಕ್ಕಾ ಮಾಡಿದ

ಬಿಳಿಸಲು ಕೈ ಕೆಕ್ಕಾ ಕಾ. ಗಳು ಕೈಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಾಕಿಗಳು ಇತ್ತು

ತಂದಿಗೆ ಕೈಗಳು ಕಿ ಕಿಂಯಾಗಿ ಗಂಡಿಗಾ ಹುಡುಕಿ

ಗಿ ಸಿಂಗಿ ಕಾಂಕ್ಷಿಯ ಕಾ ಕೆಕ್ಕಾ ದ್ವಾ ಪಾವತಿಯಾ ಕಾಕಿ

ಬಾಕಿ ಗಾಂಧಿ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಕಾ H.C. 236 ಗಣಿ ಕಾಯ

ಹುಕುಂಗಳ ಕಾಕ್ಕೆ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾವತಿಯಾ ಕಾಕ್ಕಿ ಕಾಕಿ

H.C. 236
ಪಿ.ಸಿ.

[illegible]

3757

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
No. 28531
10 DEC 1990
COPE

C. No. 391/92-93

R. HC 236
Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner for taking of information. The minister ought to be able to furnish the information within a month. Therefore the minister be asked to furnish the necessary information either to the [illegible]

A.D.

Letter No. 420/Misc 264-22-6 dated 18th September 1924 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue Department.

Adverting to your letter No. C. 884 dated 25th April 1924 furnishing information about the agrahar houses constructed by Sumati Anantachar and S. Lakshmi Narasimhachar, Vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss charities, at Seringapatam, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish information on the following points,

(i) Up to what year has Sumati Anantach been paid his vritti, and from what year has payment been withheld?

(ii) What is the year up to which payment has been made to Lakshmi narasimhachar?

(iii) Are there any other Vrittidars, to whom the vritti arrear has been paid, and if so, up to what year has it been paid?

(iv) Is there any truth in the statement that Sumati Anantachar that he has constructed out his house at Seringapatam

is Brahman?.

Para 2. The review petition of Sumati Anandachandar and others enclosures are returned for reference of and resubmission.

3. The further report with entire records maybe submitted so as to reach this office not later than the 15th October 1924.

"Copy"

Secretary to Commissioner.

Ref RMC 286 24-25 Contents 27.2.192

and their respective service dates

date 28/4/24,
21/12/1924
31/12/1924
+ 24/2/1924. ... this in all ...

gl 7692 x 256

No information is available as to who are all the vettipdars and how far they have been paid, only S. Palani ilak should supply information report soon after the Auditor comes. 6/3/25

It is hoped that there will be further delay in the matter

By appointment
Superintendent
Vaigaipur

28/3/25

Rev. Gen.]

REMINDER.

ತಗಾದೆ.

+ 244

Asst
~~ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ-ಕಮ್ಮಿಷನರ್~~ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು.
Navigund

RTC 236
24-26

.....ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೇಶ್ವರ.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಿಲದಾರಿಗೆ ಹುಕುಂ.—
ಇರೇಬಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿ ಕುರಿತು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ
23-12-24 ನೆ ಮ॥ RTC 236 / 24-26 ನಂ॥ ಹುಕ್ಕುಮಿಗೆ 3 ದಿವಸಗಳ
ಒಳಗಾಗಿ ಜವಾಬ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು—ಅಥವಾ ಆಲಸ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ತಿಳಿಸ
ಬೇಕು.

and subsequent reminder dated
21-12-24.

Re Gunakaracharya Nowal Krishnacharya Cha
in T. Narayanappa. K. 7359 / 24-26

ಸಂಗತಿ—

ತಾ॥ 24 ನೆ ಮಾಹೆ 26
1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ

Asst
~~ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮ್ಮಿಷನರ್.~~

Letter No 1237 Misc 264-22 dated 18th February 1925 from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department to the Murpai Commissioner in Nyore.

With reference to your letter No C 884 dated the 2nd January 1925 regarding the details required in connection with the claim of S. Arunachalam and S. Lakshminarayanachar for payment of Vritti amounts, I am desired to request you to intimate at what stage the [illegible] ter now stands?

"As the case has been pending for a long time, I am to request that the report called for may be sent up without further delay."

Copy.

26.2.25.

Jr. Deputy Commissioner
Nyore District

HC 36
[illegible]
[illegible] 26.11.24
[illegible]

28.11.24.
21-12-24
31-12-24
24-2-25

Letter No C 884/22-23 dated 20th February 1925 from Nuzvid Commissioner to Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt. Mcr

Referring to your letter C No 391/22-23 dated 20th December 1924, intimating that the further report regarding the payment of Vritti amounts to Sumati Ananthachar and S. Lakshminarasimha char will be submitted on receipt of connected records from the Amildar, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No 1237/Miscy 264-22 dated 19th February 1925 from the Revenue Secretary to Government and to request that the report may kindly be sent up without further delay.

C 391/22-23 Gl 6570 memo Rte 236 dated 26th

Copy of the above together with that

secretary's letter referred to therein is forwarded

sub division officer Ranjangud in continuation of the

memo no C 391/22-23 dated 20.12.24 for immediate

already called for therein. It is regretted that the

report was called for before the 10th January 1925

has yet been sent. The same may kindly be sent

forthwith.

Immediately

Rte 2 [illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore dist.

forwarded to the Accildar

T Narasipur 29/- for immediate submission of

the information required with connected records

Cs. allo [illegible]

Memo R Rte 236 of 24-25 Dt 26-1-24

P.T.O.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

H. C. Subba

19.3.25 Amildar

RH 236/24.25

A. C. No. 391/22-23 dt 20/3/25

Sub-divisional to the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District with reference to his order

Memorandum No. 3/ 22-23 dt 22-11-1924.

25/3/25

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER. No. 42773 29. MAR. 1925 MYSORE DISTRICT.

urgent

Memo. no. A.C. 391/22-23

Forwarded to the Sub Division office French Rock with reference to correspondence cited with this memo no. R.H.C. 689/22-23 dated 19.11.24. As it is reported that no reference is available in T. Narasipur Taluq, the Amildar of Seringapatam Taluq may kindly be instructed to search for cause connected Dakhalas and submit on the points called for by the Revenue to Govt. As the report due submission to the Mysore Commr has been over by intermediate correspondences, it is requested that a reply may be sent not later than the 10th April 25.

Read 7.4.25

4/3.4.25.

A. No. 391/ಮಾಹಿತಿ/ಪ್ರ/ಸ

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಆಡಿಟರ್-ಜನರಲ್ ಸಿ-ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ವಿಷಯ: ಇಂದಿನ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸಂಬಳದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಕುರಿತು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೊಡುವುದು.

ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮೇಲಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 31-10-1922ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದೆ. ಉಳಿದ ವಿವರಗಳು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸಂಬಳದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮೇಲಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಿಂದ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ 11-11-1922ರಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಆಡಿಟರ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1886ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು 1894ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸಂಬಳದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಕುರಿತು ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 4411-10-0 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಸಂಬಳ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರು ಇದ್ದರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸುಮಾರು 450 ಇದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳದ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅದರಂತೆ ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಸಂಬಳ ಪಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳದ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ 309 ಪೈಕಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಸಂಬಳ ಪಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಸಿ-ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಂದರೆ 1894ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೈಲಾಂ ಮುಳ್ಳಿ ಮುಸುಕಿನಿಂದ ಹಾಗೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಿದ್ದರು ...

ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ 300 ಪಿ-ಲೂಪಿನ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ
ನಡೆಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮೇಲಂದಿನಂತೆ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಮೆಸ್ಸರ್ಸ್ ನಡೆಸಿ
ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 18/9/24 ದಿನಾಂಕ 420 ರೂ. ಠೇವಣಿ
ಇಟ್ಟು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಯಾವ
ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.
ಮೇಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವ ವರದಿ
ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮೇಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ
ಸೂಚನೆಯಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ
ಮಾಡಿ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ವರದಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು
ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

27/3/1925
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ

ಸುಬೇದಾರ್ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಗನಿ

memo. no A.C. 391/22-23

Forwarded to the Sub Division officer, French Rocks, for submission of report called for in this office memo no. C. 391/22-23 dated. 4-4-25

4.4.25

For Deputy Commr

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT.
No. 42573
28. MAR. 1925

Forwarded to the Amildar, Seringapatam, for earl report in continuation of this office no: H.C. 689/22-23 dated 7.4.1925.

7.4.25.

Sub Div Officer
F. Rocks.

Reference. Deputy Commissioner's No: A.C. 391/22-23
dated 4.4.1925.

శ్రీరంగపట్టణాధికారులవారికి, [illegible] అధికారులకు,

పై పత్రి రాయళ్లకి యనేకం [illegible] ఒక విధానమును యీ విధానపత్రి నాలుగు నెలలు నిలవడల్లు రుపేష్టుటాకమిష్నరవారు వారు రాయలవారికి 4.4.1925కి మర్యాం A.C.391/22-23కి గంపుగా యుంచిన అంత్యాధ రాయళ్లకి యున్న నిమ్న తీర్పుల్లో బట్టి రాసివున్నది. ఈ నిబంధనల్లు సంబంధపత్రి ఇందు దాఖలాకు నమోదు కాల్లోపులోపిలిపిల్లు రుపుకొని రిపేష్టుటాకమిష్నరవారు యుంచియుల్లి కేళ్లరాయళ్లకి అంతనుళ్లకి ల్లు రాసుకోలంపోటుటకు తి. ఈ నిబంధనల్లు మరల్ కమిష్నరవారు వారు వారు అంపోటుటకు మరబోశాద్ధ అంద మిత్రికి అనరాశగోరదే పొందుకే నిమ్న అంపోటుటన్ను కళుపి నిర్ణయపరచారు ఇచ్చినవారల్లే.

7.4.25 Sub Div Officer
Z. Rocks.

239680

జి.ఎస్.నం. 564/34

శ్రీ రంగరాజ్యపు కాలాన్ని తెలియజేసే
లేఖల సంపుటం

శ్రీ రంగరాజ్యపు కాలాన్ని కాలాన్ని తెలియజేసే
ఇవి రచించిన శ్రీ రంగరాజ్యపు అనే పేరు
పేరు తెలియని రచనలు మన్నెపట్టి
యందు నివసించి అనేక ఇవి తమ
కాలపరిధిని కాలాన్ని కాలములో 309-5-10
కళ్ళు లాంటివి కాలాన్ని కాలపట్టి కాలపట్టి
ల రచనలు 29.1.1910 నాటి లు నం. 3734/ఎస్3/199
నాటివి. కాలాన్ని పట్టి మన రచనలు
కాలపరిధిని మన్నెపట్టి కాలపట్టి
కాలపరిధి క్రమపరిచి నేను దీనిని
రచించాను

కాలాలను నివసించి అనేక కాలపట్టి
నాటి కాలపరిధి రచనలు
కాలపరిధి నివసించి కాలపట్టి
కాలపరిధి నివసించి కాలపట్టి
కాలపరిధి నివసించి కాలపట్టి

ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಹಾಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 309, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾ 1 ಕಾಇಸಂ, ಅನ್ಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ಜಮೀನು ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ 309-5-10 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಜಮೀನು ಹಾಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 25/1/10 ರಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನು ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 28/29/3/10 ರಲ್ಲಿ 561 ಮೀಟರ್ ಉದ್ದದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಶಾಲೆ ಕಟ್ಟಡಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ಜಮೀನು ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಉಳಿದಂತೆ 309-5-10 ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನು ಅನ್ಯಾಂಶ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಸುಮತಿ ಅನಂತನಾಯಕ್
7/4/2010

[illegible] ದೇಣಿಗೆಯವರ ಪಟ್ಟಿ

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ದೇಣಿಗೆದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು	ದೇಣಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ದೇಣಿಗೆ		
1	[illegible]	26-5-15	500	-	-
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	-	-
2	[illegible]	10-6-16	500	-	-
3	[illegible]	4-8-15	500	-	-
4	[illegible]	13-1-14	500	-	-
5	[illegible]	13-8-1918	500	-	-

14-4-1925

[illegible]

301

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೧೦೬ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರಿನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ
ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 7-4-1925 ಇಸವಿ ಸಾಲು
689 ಎಕರೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.
ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿದೆ.

1 ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರಿನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಾಯಿದೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಲ್ಲು ನೆಟ್ಟು ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ 16-11-1905 ಇಸವಿ ಸಾಲು 450 ಎಕರೆ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಲ್ಲು ನೆಟ್ಟು ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ 1906-07 ಇಸವಿ ಸಾಲು ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಲ್ಲು ನೆಟ್ಟು ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ 2-4-1906 ಇಸವಿ ಸಾಲು 309-5-10 ಎಕರೆ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಲ್ಲು ನೆಟ್ಟು ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ 7-4-1910 ಇಸವಿ ಸಾಲು 309-5-10 ಎಕರೆ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಲ್ಲು ನೆಟ್ಟು ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ 24-2-10 ಇಸವಿ ಸಾಲು 450/- ಎಕರೆ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಲ್ಲು ನೆಟ್ಟು ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ 7-4-1910 ಇಸವಿ ಸಾಲು 309-5-10 ಎಕರೆ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಕಲ್ಲು ನೆಟ್ಟು ಹದ್ದು ಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಿನಾಂಕ

2 ಸಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರರವರು ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ಆದೇಶ ನಂ. 13-5-1915 ರಲ್ಲಿ 500/- ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಅಲ್ಪ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ 28-3-17 ರಲ್ಲಿ 400/- ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಇಂತಿರುವುದು. ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಅತಿರಾದ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇಂದಿನ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು 1916-1917 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಂತೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಪಡಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇಂದಿನ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯ

3 ಆದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಲ್ಪ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

4 ಸದರಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಈ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಪ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿರುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯ

14-4-1925

R. No 689/22-23

E. Thekapiade

Covering
C 391/22-23 Inam
18/4/25

Submitted to the Dy Commr Mysore

No further particulars than is stated in the above report are available in the S. Halani Tahsildar office. The Inam villages

situated in the T. Narasipur Taluk and no accounts of their income and payments made to the Vrittidars from time to time are maintained in this Taluk. Except some correspondence relating to the construction of houses by Sumathi Brahmachar and Lakshminarasim and the payments made in that connection no other records are furnished in the Taluk office. The information available here may be briefly stated thus:-

(1) A sum of Rs 450/- was advanced to Sumathi Brahmachar on 16-11-1905 for constructing a house at S. Kalaiah and a further sum of Rs 309-5-10 was paid on 7-4-1920 on the presentation of a bill after the completion of the building. There is evidence in the municipal records to show that Brahmachar has paid municipal tax

from the year 1906-07 and from circumstances one may reasonably infer that the house was constructed within one year from the date of the grant of the advance of Rs 450/-. The building was at first rented out to a school and later on to one of the archaks of the Srilangamathaswami Temple. Now it is vacant and the doors have been closed and locked.

(2) As regards Lakshmi narasimhachar there is a record in the Taluk office which shows that sums of Rs 500 and Rs 400/- were advanced to him on 13-5-1915 and 28.3.1917 respectively for constructing a house. He has completed the building and is now reported to be living in it.

~~The~~ This is all the information which could gather in the Taluk office after 10 days search in the records. It will now be clear that I am unable furnish complete information on the

points raised in the Rev Secretary's letter no 420/Miscy 264-22-8 of 18.14 Sept 1924 to the Rev Commr

A statement showing the advances made to the other Vrittidars for constructing houses is enclosed.

The connected records accompany

Camp S. Halam
15.4.25

Venkatarama
DDO

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER. OFFICE No. 4514 15. APR 1925 MYSORE DISTRICT.

Submitted with a draft reply to the Rev Commr for favour of approval & other orders.

18/4/25

Dated, Mysore, 7th April 1925.
8a

FROM

A.V.Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.C 884/22-23 dated 31st March /2nd April 1924 forwarding copy of Revenue Secretary's letter No.1395/M.F.264-22 dated 23rd March 1924 regarding the payment of Vritti amounts due to certain Vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss charities, and requesting submission of report within a week, I have the honour to state that the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, who was asked to furnish information on the points 1, 2, and 3 raised in Revenue Secretary's letter No. 420/Muz 264-22-6 dated 18th September 1924, stated in his memo No.H.C.236/24-25 dated 30th March 1925 that no accounts were forthcoming in T.Narasipur Taluk as all the payments in respect of the said charities were being made in Seringapatam Taluk only. I have forwarded his memo to the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, requesting him to arrange for a search being made in respect of the connected accounts and to submit a report not later than the 10th instant. I have reminded him again this day to submit his report within the time limit. After receipt of his reply, report will be submitted.

The delay is unavoidable.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd.G.Narayana Rao.
For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

From THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE, DI

To THE REVENUE COMMISSION
IN MYSORE, BAN

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Ref A.C. No. 391/22-23

Memo

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, in continuation of this office memo No.A.C.391/22-23 dated 4-4-23 for ~~punctual~~ submission of report already called for within the 10th instant.

For Deputy Commissio
Mysore District.

T.M.K.

42310 Cp-11795

[illegible]

[illegible] పత్రికలు [illegible] పరిశీలించి [illegible]
[illegible] అభిప్రాయం ఇవ్వగలరు —

[illegible] పత్రికలు అక్షరాలదర్శకత్వం [illegible]
[illegible] అభిప్రాయాలు ఇవి

[illegible] పత్రికలు అక్షరాలదర్శకత్వం [illegible]
[illegible] — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] అభిప్రాయము —

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

HC 1027
2-[illegible]

Mr. [illegible]
14-[illegible]

Hemataranamy

1489

1886 1894 2417-9-4 1886 1907-908 468-11-8

1908-09 14 7-18 1368-

1048-13-4

12-5-1922

26-5-22

ಸೈನಿಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಚೇರಿ ಕೈಪಿಡಿ —
ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ —

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIATE
22 SEP 1923
MUZRAI
A 366

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರ.

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿ.

ಮಹಾನಿರ್ವಾಹಕರವರ್ಗದ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಕರರ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಾದರೂ ಸಾ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳ ಸೇವೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 31-10-1922ರಂದು ಕೊನೆಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮೇಲಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯರಾದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ನಾವು ನ್ಯಾಯಕರರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳ ಸೇವೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

22-9-23.

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಗೌಡ

366.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No

The records want to know how the question arose. The file also may be h

22/9

539
22.9.23.

The Legislative Dept. may be with Co mments.

A.V.
[illegible]

Refer. I. No 264-22 on subject is submitted. The comm's. report on the subj is awaited. The last reminder was issued on 20th Septr.

Submitted.

[illegible] 22/9/23. 24.9.23

To the P.A. to [illegible]

Submitted for perusal

24/9

616
25.9.23.

[illegible]

25/9/23

NOTE—Cover sheet

Submitted.

R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192

Serial No

Draft note

28/9/25

Issue

28/9/25

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561 - GPB 3750 1 8 22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the 192

D.O. No. 500.

Date of issue 4-10-23

Signed by Dr. Subbarayan.

~~To~~

Sir,

I am to invite ref. to the marginally-noted cases from this office calling for further information on the review-application of Smati Annapurna regarding Nowk Krishna Das Saib's appointment at Sringeri-Math.

"	—	9
12	—	18-12-22
208	—	5-2-22
437	—	8-3-23
635	—	10-4-23
817	—	17-5-23
996	—	14-6-23
119	—	21-7-23
217	—	13-8-23
137	—	20-9-23

over.

To request that you will
see so far as to give
your personal attention
to the matter, and send
up the report ~~already~~
~~called for~~ on the subject ~~at once~~ within a fortnight
~~within a fortnight~~

Yrs Lief

28/9/23

SDT) Secretary,
Reco. Dept

28/9/23

To
K. Chandy Esq. B.A.
Mus. Comr

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7 22

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to_____

Section Inez
File No. 26/R-22
Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191 .

No. 2208

Date of issue 5/2/23

Signed by Mr. D. B. J. Iyer.

To

The Dy. Comr., Mysore Distt
Thro. The Inez. Comrs

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. 1912-Inez/18-12-1922 calling for certain information about the houses constructed by Sumati Anantachar and Sahasranamada Lakshminarasimhachar Vritti Dars of N

over.

Sd/- Saib Abraham at
Mysore, and to request that
the favour of a very early
reply thereto.

I have etc.

Sd/-. Rao Secretary
27/1/13.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Anantacharya, Leathe
pathi agrahar, Bangalore
city requests early orders on
his petn. of 31-10-1922 praying
that he may be put in possession
of the Vrithi & declare that he is
entitled to as one of the
Vrithidars of Naval Krishnaa
Charities at Sirinipalaya
Lane

31/7

31.7.23

23.

a.k.

2.8.23.

Dy Comm.
for ch
naitor.

refers to
a petition presented
by a member of Council
in the matter of
claims to him since
completed the work
of the House as
agreement between
the Govt.

which does not belong
R.S.C. Br. It may be
hence either to the Magain
or the Rev. Scott.

Mr Sutherland, Sec,
with compts.
HMK
23 4 23

A.
24/4/23

121 SUS. REGR. No

24 APR 1923
MUZRAI

2016

L5147-m

ml 1722-21

24-3-1923.

21 SUB. REGR. No.

SERIAL No. 6

SHALL a Reminder issue to

Mr Cmr

for a reply to this Office No. 420, dated the

18-9-24

Report

Requested them to furnish information on the point if from Sri Anantach one of the vithadars of Nowl Krishnadoss Saul Agraharam at Srirangapatam

REMINDERS.

	No.	Date
Dr		
Do	1257	19-2-25
Do	1395	19-3-25

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 1033 of 22-23

~~Appeal~~ Memorial ~~to~~ H. H. the Mahârâja by Sachasranamada Lakshmanarasumhachar appealing to him against Govt. Orders on the matter of vritti arrears due to him from Nowl. Krishnadoss's charities. ~~Recd~~

The memorial was [illegible] forwarded to the Inspector [illegible] as Disposal, vide P. S.'s No. [illegible] 22

[illegible]

[illegible] As to whether [illegible] Govt. drawing his [illegible] attention to Govt. No. 696 Mis 146 21-9 of 11-8-22 may be issued?

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

[illegible] 18/10/22 [illegible]

Final Intention [illegible]

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

3399-418
24.10.22

I am afraid the precedents cited do not make the position quite clear. The case cannot be reopened but there is no reason why a clear cut statement should not be put up.

Ref
25-10-22

Draft 27/25/10/22

Draft enquiry submitted

15-12-22

N.B. - Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

19634

No. 934
-2

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore 16th October 1922

16 OCT 1922

1033

22-23

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ...Revenue..... Department, Bangalore, for disposal

By Order,

for Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 259 of 1922-23

W D, 204—GBPM—1,000—13-9 22

1033

22-23

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO H.H. THE MAHARAJA
2591
13 OCT. 1922

To

Sir Sri Krishna Raja Wadiyar Bahadur G.C.S.I.,

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

May it please your Highness

This humble memorial from Shasranamada Lakshmi Narasimhachar of Seringapatam- One of the 12 Vrittidars of Naval Krishnadas Charities, Showeth-

1. That the memorialist has been driven to the necessity of appealing to your Highness, to do the justice, which has been denied to him by the Government and all other authorities.

2. That the justice sought for is in regard to the payment to him of his share of the Vritti amount since 1895 which is now denied to him contrary to the assurances - held out from time to time to the Memorialist is in no - way responsible.

3. That begging your Highness' forbearance for the - length of the petition the following are the facts of - the case which will be entirely borne out by the records of the Government Secretariat (Mazarai) which may if necessary be kindly sent for and perused.

4. Your Highness' grand father the late H.S. Sri Krishna -raja Wadiyar Bahadur bestowed Two Inam villages in T. Narasipur Taluq on the late Naval Krishnadas Seth Of Seringapatam in 1820 for the purpose of building a Choultry, temple and an Agrahar and their maintenance at Seringapatam and the Inam was duly confirmod by the Inam Settlement.

5. That the said Naval Krishnadas Seth divided tho villages into 18 vritties and reserving 6 for the maintenance of Choultry and temple gave away in charity with

proper

proper Dana Sasana in regular sastraic fashion, the remaining 12 shares for a few Brahmans, who were required to live in - the Agraharam that was to be newly built and named after - him and offer prayers for the welfare of the Maharaja every day.

6. That the Government took possession of the villages in 1886 on the ground that the choultry and temple were in decay and that none of the vrittidars was living in the Agraharam and finally resumed the villages in 1894 under an - order No: 963-6/199 dated 6th July 1894. But on a representation submitted by the aggrieved vrittidars Government - ordered in their order No: 9048/G.R.13-69 dated 2-12-1894 that although the resumption must stand and continue that they would favourably consider the question of restoring the vritties or their equivalents after the donees build their Agraharam houses in a locality approved by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and otherwise conform to the conditions of the original grant thus making it explicitly - clear that it was not the intention of Government to revoke the charity finally but only to hold it in abeyance.

7. That in G.O.No:797-8 dated 25-12-1898 it was ordered that a suitable site may be allotted to him for building - the house and that in 1904 another G.O. permitted the Deputy Commissioner to advance out of the arrears of vritti amount sums for building house.

8. That the Memorialist duly built up a house as soon as the site was finally allotted at Seringapatam and the -- amount of advance paid viz: in 1910, in the site selected - and allotted to him for the purpose by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and that he did not allow any unnessary delay to transpire in conforming to the conditions of the Government Orders.

9. That he has been living there ever since, although it

is

is a solitary locality, as he wanted to obey the condition laid down in the Government Order and been regularly offering prayers for the welfare of the Royal Family as enjoined on him in the Dana Sasana.

10. That since the amount received was not enough to complete the new house, he had to incur debts and also dispose of his ancestral house in the town.

11. That having thus fulfilled all the conditions laid down in the Government Order of 1894 the Memorialist submitted a due representation that either his vritti may be restored to him or its equivalent amount which was in arrears from 1895 to date, may be paid to him. In reply to it, after several reminders he was summoned in 1919 by the Muzarai Secretary to Government along with other vrittidars to Bangalore and a regular enquiry regarding their claims was held, in the course of which he was asked to state whether he was prepared to have cash payment or the grant of some land in lieu thereof. The Memorialist then submitted that he would accept either of the two as may be decided by Government.

12. That nothing definite having been settled, the Memorialist kept on reminding and praying for the payment of his dues but to his surprise and disappointment he was informed in Government Order No:G.O.3110-12/Muz: 696-15-11 dated 5-3-1921 that the arrears of the Vritti amount for 1886 to 1894 were ordered to be paid by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District but no mention was made about payment of the dues for the subsequent period.

13. That the petitioner duly represented again to the Dewan Saheb and Muzaroy Secretary that he had already received the amount for that period and that he had satisfied the requirements of the Government Order of 1894 in having

built

built and lived in the new house and otherwise fully conformed to the conditions of the original grant and that he ventured to pray that the promise clearly held out in this order (viz: to favourably consider the question of restoring the vritti or its equivalent) may be graciously fulfilled and that the vritti amount due from 1895 onwards, in arrears be paid to him. But the reply received in No:696/K Muz:146-209 dated 11-8-1922 (copy of which is herewith enclosed for perusal) is to the effect that the decision arrived at in the order of 1921 cannot be reconsidered. The endorsement given in 1921 based on the order of that year does not at all refer to the claim for payment of the dues from 1895 onwards but simply authorises payment of the dues for the period of 8 years from 1886 to 1894 but neither in the endorsement of 1921 nor of 1922 is he informed whether the vritti amount for the subsequent period will be paid to him and if so when, and if not on what grounds it is denied and what further conditions he should fulfil to be entitled to the vritti or its equivalent. He is therefore totally at a loss to know whether at all the vritti or its equivalent is going to be restored to him after all this long expectation.

14. That the above Government Order has hit very hard the humble petitioner, who in his old age, with a blind wife, bereft of a promising young son, who was the prop of his life, and, with a son who is neither educated nor experienced in life, has already suffered considerably all these years. The petitioner is the only one vrittidar who has complied with all the requirements of the Government Order of 1894 faithfully but still he is not paid the amount legitimately due to him inspite of repeated prayers, both oral and written.

15. That from the commencement it was at no time anywhere

anywhere stated that the gift would be continued only for the period of 8 years only and that therefore it would be discontinued, but that on the otherhand the wording of the Government Order of December 1894 is quite clear and refers to the restoration of the vritti itself which could surely be not for a period of 8 short years only. Further even as late as 1919 i.e. 25 years after the order of 1894, it was the intention of Government to restore the vrittidar's rights; otherwise the enquiry of 1919 referred to in para 11 supra, would have been quite unnecessary and out of place.

16. That in conclusion, he therefore ventures to hope that your gracious Highness will not permit the Government to revoke a gift which was so charitably bestowed by your Highness' Noble Grand father and thus ruin an old man by denying him his legitimate due at the last moment after his long and patient expectation for over 25 years; but that your Highness would take a merciful and just view of the case and order either the restoration of the vritti itself or the payment of its equivalent in arrears from 1895 up to date and its further continuance also.

17. For which act of Grace the humble petitioner in duty bound will ever pray that Heaven's choicest blessings may be showered on your Highness and the Royal Family.

18. Eagerly soliciting favourable orders in the matter and praying that the case may be finally considered and decided by your Highness only after a careful and detailed perusal of the connected orders and correspondence in the Government Secretariat.

The humble memorialist remains,
Your Highness
Most obedient servant,
[illegible]

Seringapatam,
12-10-1922.

Naval Krishnadas Agrahara,
Seringapatam, Mysore District.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3110-12/ [illegible] 696.15.11 [illegible] 5.3.21 [illegible]

[illegible]

By order

(Signed) T.E. Jayarama Iyer

Secretary to Govt.

Revenue Department.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

No.

Date of issue _____

Signed by _____

To

over.